

WEBVTT

00:04:33.184 --> 00:04:42.184

Alright, we will call the Champaign County mental health board study session to order. Can I get a roll call please?

00:05:02.366 --> 00:05:08.366

Chris Miner. Yep. Lisa Liggins Chambers.

00:05:09.743 --> 00:05:11.743

Molly McLay.

00:05:14.433 --> 00:05:18.433

We'll do, yeah, we'll do that. Okay.

00:05:18.993 --> 00:05:20.993

Molly McLay is online.

00:05:20.937 --> 00:05:29.937

We see her, but we can't, we have to call the meeting to order 1st and then they can make a motion to allow Molly to participate remotely

00:05:29.063 --> 00:05:33.063

For one of the 3 reasons.

00:05:35.624 --> 00:05:38.624

Sorry about that, Joe Omo Osagie.

00:05:37.673 --> 00:05:48.673

Elaine Palencia Yeah. Jane Sprandel? Yes. Jon Paul Youakim.

00:05:47.248 --> 00:06:02.248

Yes. All right. With that. I will move for a motion to allow this to be specific to one board member, to allow Molly McLay to join the remotely.

00:06:01.563 --> 00:06:08.563

Is there a second? Second. All those in favor say aye. Aye.

00:06:08.003 --> 00:06:14.003

All those opposed same sign. All right those in favor have it so Molly is allowed to join remotely.

00:06:12.689 --> 00:06:20.689

Thank you, Molly, for being here. And then the next item is the approval of the agenda.

00:06:20.238 --> 00:06:31.238

I make a motion to approve the agenda as presented. Is there a second? All right. All those in favor say aye.

00:06:28.372 --> 00:06:39.372

Aye. I was supposed to sign those in paper habit. Next item is citizen input and public participation will limit each person to 5 min.

00:06:38.243 --> 00:06:46.243

A total of 20 if there's anyone in the audience or online that would like to make a statement now is the time.

00:06:47.489 --> 00:06:49.489

There anyone online?

00:06:51.615 --> 00:07:04.615

No, all right, seeing none, we'll move on to my comments. You know, obviously today and next week we have a lot of tough things to think about, you know, a lot of decisions that, you know.

00:07:03.683 --> 00:07:11.683

In previous years we haven't had to make tough decisions. Last year was kind of the start of that.

00:07:11.115 --> 00:07:18.115

You know, I, I do wanna thank. You know, all the staff and the agencies for all the hard work they put in.

00:07:17.621 --> 00:07:26.621

I know it's not easy filling in these applications. I know it's not easy, you know, doing all the work that they do and I am grateful for all that

00:07:26.057 --> 00:07:38.057

These agencies are able to accomplish for the community. And I'm hoping that we can. You know, fund as much as we can and keep every agency and program thriving as much as possible.

00:07:38.114 --> 00:07:42.114

So with that, I'll move on to Lynn's comments.

00:07:44.242 --> 00:08:01.242

So I just thank you for what you just said, this is hard to do. We've been living it for quite a lot for a few months now, really ready to be done with these tough tough decision to have questions, but I think we've

00:08:00.560 --> 00:08:08.560

Asked some really good questions and we've documented we've got some written responses for you in this packet.

00:08:06.435 --> 00:08:17.435

They are repeated in the board packet for next week so that everybody has access to all the same information directly from the agencies.

00:08:15.739 --> 00:08:27.739

Just a couple housekeeping things. For folks in the zoom to please sign in to the chat so that we know how to spell your name

00:08:28.440 --> 00:08:37.440

And the agency that you're affiliated with, if any, and then I'll try to keep showing stuff on the zoom screen as we go.

00:08:35.875 --> 00:08:42.875

I think that's it. There's cookies and water in the room. If you're interested.

00:08:43.940 --> 00:08:48.940

Okay. And then so yeah, next week is the big meeting. Okay, bye.

00:08:48.677 --> 00:08:55.677

Alright, with that, move on to the study session. Which is the mental health board PY

00:08:54.528 --> 00:09:04.528

25 requests and continuing awards on by priority on pages 2 through. 3.

00:09:06.282 --> 00:09:08.282

I don't know if anyone had any questions about.

00:09:10.466 --> 00:09:12.466

But chart.

00:09:30.166 --> 00:09:35.166

Any questions about distribution of the funding to the different priority categories?

00:09:38.661 --> 00:09:47.661

Alright, with that, I will move on to the responses to questions and corrections of errors in draft PY

00:09:39.724 --> 00:09:53.724

25 program summaries on pages 4 through 34. I know there was a lot of questions we had

00:09:55.729 --> 00:10:06.729

During April's meeting, and these are a lot of responses to them. See if there's anyone that had any comments or questions about their responses.

00:10:15.606 --> 00:10:30.606

I do have a comment. I highly appreciate Lynn and the other staff members who did such an excellent job of getting us, you know, responses back at a very timely, you know, matter and things like that.

00:10:29.853 --> 00:10:41.853

And, you know, if we'd even have clarification questions, they're always so, you know, nice to be like, oh, so, I appreciated that.

00:10:40.661 --> 00:10:47.661

So another appreciation to Lynn and our staff, keeping us highly educated and motivated.

00:10:53.226 --> 00:11:07.226

No, I did appreciate, courage connection's explanation of some of the funding and helping us understand that they're trying to help stabilize turnover and that's kind of some of the issues they've been having.

00:11:06.913 --> 00:11:13.913

And so, you know, a good explanation of why the funding is, you know. What it is I think was helpful.

00:11:13.228 --> 00:11:20.228

I don't know if we talked about it at the last meeting. I know we had some questions about Rosecrance

00:11:19.922 --> 00:11:28.922

About. You know, why they have no wait for the mental health - For the psychiatrists.

00:11:28.675 --> 00:11:43.675

You know, I feel like there might be a bottleneck with Rosecrance with their clinician being able to screen patients that get them in with psychiatry because Nowhere in the county is there a no-wait for psychiatry.

00:11:42.600 --> 00:11:57.600

So I really hope that. Rosecrance, you know, looks at that program and finds a way to make sure that there isn't a bottleneck with their clinician, their mental health clinician, their mental health clinician to getting.

00:11:59.541 --> 00:12:05.541

Children in with the psychiatrist. I just wanted to make sure that I made that clear

00:12:08.039 --> 00:12:23.039

Because there is a really long way right now to get kids in with psychiatrists and kids that are threatening to hurt themselves And it's crazy because then I really want them to be able to get in if someone can get in there and not.

00:12:22.170 --> 00:12:31.170

Have to wait that would be great but You know, if they have to wait till they get the mental health clinician evaluation, that might be an issue

00:12:30.534 --> 00:12:39.534

That we need to address And and personally I was trying to make a psychiatry appointment for an adult child.

00:12:39.722 --> 00:12:46.722

And OSF in Champaign is not taking any new patients and I was like and I'm not even in a crisis right now.

00:12:46.663 --> 00:12:54.663

Granted, we can go to Danville, which is probably closer for me, but Again, I wish there was a was,

00:12:54.979 --> 00:13:01.979

As a county, as a state, as a nation, we could recruit more providers because the ones we have are phenomenal,

00:13:03.666 --> 00:13:07.666  
But they're just overbooked. Thank you.

00:13:10.231 --> 00:13:19.231  
For OSF, I, I do know they have an inpatient program for crisis for I forget how long the admissions are.

00:13:16.480 --> 00:13:32.480  
I think it's something. I remember I had patients that have been in for like 10 days and then they go home but then the problem is follow-up and that's not Just OSF, like Pavilion has that issue too of like, OK, now the kid needs to follow up.

00:13:31.731 --> 00:13:43.731  
And so, you know, as physicians, we've tried to make that more seamless but even then they're still shortage because there's just not enough providers.

00:13:43.287 --> 00:13:51.287  
And so, you know, we have as pediatricians have taken on, okay, well, even if they've been admitted, as long as they're not.

00:13:50.723 --> 00:14:00.723  
Suicidal or homicidal having those thoughts and they're on medications that are things that we're comfortable treating, we will continue to monitor them and treat them.

00:14:00.541 --> 00:14:07.541  
As opposed to if they are on something that's outside of the tools that I use in my toolbox and they will need to follow

00:14:03.038 --> 00:14:18.038  
Psychiatry and so You know, there is, I know at least on the KIDS side that we are working on trying to make that transition more seamless but obviously there's always room for improvement.

00:14:17.100 --> 00:14:25.100  
But yeah, it's just when there's already a shortage nationwide of all kinds of

00:14:26.286 --> 00:14:33.286  
positions and providers that specifically psychiatry. We want to make sure that all resources are being utilized. So

00:14:32.355 --> 00:14:42.355  
One thing that can be done is in this state psych psychologists can actually write prescriptions if they're licensed to do so.

00:14:40.414 --> 00:14:56.414  
The challenge is that some positions are not backing up the psychologists who can write prescriptions. So an alternative to the shortage is getting more psychologists licensed to write prescriptions is getting more psychologists licensed to write prescriptions for

00:14:55.043 --> 00:15:03.043

Psychotropic medication. So a lot of people don't know that, but Illinois is one of the states that will grant

00:15:04.223 --> 00:15:15.223

Licenses to psychologists who meet the criterion or have the qualifications to write prescriptions of psychotropic medications only.

00:15:16.728 --> 00:15:25.728

Got it. Dr. Lisa, how long has that been? Like it's at least 4 years, right?

00:15:25.539 --> 00:15:33.539

It's been a while, don't quote me, but if you go to the Department of Professional Regulation, it is listed.

00:15:25.847 --> 00:15:39.847

Prescribing psychologists how to meet criterion for that and you definitely have to be licensed.

00:15:38.356 --> 00:15:45.356

I'm not sure if they have to go back to school. I'm assuming so in order to write prescriptions.

00:15:44.419 --> 00:15:49.419

But I'm not quite clear on that just because it's not something I want to do.

00:15:44.852 --> 00:16:03.852

So I haven't really researched it, but it is another option in this state. Not only to license psychologists for writing prescriptions but also to license psychologists period.

00:16:03.315 --> 00:16:10.315

There's a problem with that in this state, across the nation, but also in this state.

00:16:11.429 --> 00:16:19.429

So if you do want to advocate for more of us, you gotta start with advocating for them to grant licenses to all of us.

00:16:23.431 --> 00:16:28.431

And thank you. And that's really good to know. I, I didn't even know that.

00:16:24.120 --> 00:16:40.120

So, yeah. Like, I think this is an important advocacy issue, not, doesn't have anything to do through funding decisions, but like it's really important and there is a legislative session right now.

00:16:33.988 --> 00:16:51.988

So just like finding out why there's not a workforce taking advantage of that like would be great and then let's advocate for whatever the, you know, removal of whatever barriers.

00:16:45.621 --> 00:17:00.621

So thank you. Yeah, one of the barriers is just the criterion to get licensed psychologists - get us licensed.

00:16:58.744 --> 00:17:06.744

I mean, it's really rough, you know, they take us through a lot almost as if we're medical doctors.

00:17:07.062 --> 00:17:22.062

Probably as far as licensing minority psychologists compared to Caucasian licensed psychologists, it might be about, don't quote me guys on the percentage, but there's a huge gap.

00:17:20.308 --> 00:17:36.308

How about we leave it at that 1st time folks pass an exam even to get licensed and there is a problem because the, for example, minority psychologists are just not passing an exam the 1st time.

00:17:36.185 --> 00:17:43.185

They're taking exam over and over and over again and that's not being addressed in this state as problematic

00:17:42.303 --> 00:17:55.303

So you have good clinicians who just are unable to get their license, you know, because they can't pass a test and it's not for lack of knowledge.

00:17:51.559 --> 00:17:57.559

It's not the fact that they aren't good clinicians, the exam lacks face validity.

00:17:56.119 --> 00:18:06.119

And so it's not measuring clinical skills, so they're expected to know stuff, for example, from like, 1920, who cares about 1920.

00:18:04.991 --> 00:18:14.991

And they're refusing to change the licensing standards. So that's part of the problem as to why you don't have enough licensed psychologist, especially licensed psychologists of color.

00:18:14.362 --> 00:18:17.362

And color doesn't just mean African-american.

00:18:21.004 --> 00:18:35.004

Just my 2 cents on this. One, you know, that's a shame because we also know that every time you have to take that test, which clearly is, you know,

00:18:34.451 --> 00:18:49.451

Biased you know you're also then paying more money for this biased test but my, curiosity before you said that and I started to Get my blood pressure up again

00:18:46.441 --> 00:19:04.441

Is You know, I wonder if training programs are now responding to the idea that that You know, being licensed to write scripts is, is a possibility, you know.

00:19:05.510 --> 00:19:08.510

If they're including that in their new training.

00:19:08.884 --> 00:19:17.884

We would be so much closer. That's all. I, as far as I know, is state to state.

00:19:15.878 --> 00:19:25.878

So we're lucky to be in Illinois because Illinois does grant this prescribing license to psychologists.

00:19:23.949 --> 00:19:34.949

I can't speak for states outside of Illinois because I just haven't researched it. But I do think that we are pretty lucky here because that is an alternative.

00:19:29.132 --> 00:19:49.132

It's just that Programs may not. Because a lot of people don't know that but if you again if you go to the Department of Professional Registration It is there under clinical psychologist.

00:19:48.698 --> 00:19:59.698

And the other thing is they're not necessarily training to pass exam either. You know, they may talk about it, but there's no real training.

00:19:58.125 --> 00:20:04.125

So you're right. You know, we have to deal with this test that is completely biased.

00:20:03.323 --> 00:20:11.323

So when you guys have climbed that are or even patients that are saying, hey, I had to be on the waiting list.

00:20:09.195 --> 00:20:17.195

I hear about it every day for 2 years to get testing. This is why we don't have enough licensed psychologists.

00:20:16.571 --> 00:20:27.571

We have a lot of licensed social workers because social workers do it the right way. You know, they license their people out of school unless you're gonna go in private practice and Molly can talk more about that.

00:20:23.767 --> 00:20:32.767

But for us. It's totally different.

00:20:35.382 --> 00:20:43.382

Well, thank you, Doctor Liggins Chambers. That's very informative. Like, so many things I did not know, you know.

00:20:42.011 --> 00:20:50.011

So, yeah. It's hard to know how to fix things until you know it. The obstacles are, you know.

00:20:51.879 --> 00:21:02.879

So. Yeah. Anyone else any other comments questions?

00:21:07.440 --> 00:21:13.440

Hmm. Oh, Molly, do you have any questions or comments?

00:21:16.879 --> 00:21:18.879

Not yet.

00:21:18.071 --> 00:21:31.071

Alright. Alright, with that, I'll move on to the discussion of PY25 funding allocation process on page 35.

00:21:41.257 --> 00:21:51.257

You go ahead and go out, Lynn. There's a lot of exciting context first.st With links to the priorities memo.

00:21:50.459 --> 00:22:10.459

From last November. Here's why, okay, so there's the that list that you have - just before the all the responses from the agencies - that organizes contracts or Request by priority.

00:22:09.770 --> 00:22:19.770

Also shows your current 2 year commitments. So these are commitments that you made last year to programs that

00:22:19.459 --> 00:22:28.459

That were suitable for 2 year contracting and the idea of 2 year contracting is it's hopefully stabilizes a little bit.

00:22:27.216 --> 00:22:46.216

Each year, especially if they remain similar from one year to the next. So that's an amount of money right off the top that you're committed to its 2.1 million dollars

00:22:27.522 --> 00:22:34.522

For the agencies and then it's also reduces the number of applications that you're looking at.

00:22:45.968 --> 00:22:57.968

And I also, I have to split the DD amount separate from that because of the intergovernmental agreement between this board and the DD board there's a specific amount

00:22:57.593 --> 00:23:11.593

Set aside for DD. So one of those contracts is for DD, which is why about a month ago, I give you a really scary budget forecast and then realized I had double-counted that.

00:23:10.338 --> 00:23:24.338

So then now the shortfall between what So between the total. Of the requests that you're looking at and what I think is affordable.

00:23:23.458 --> 00:23:37.458

For the next 6 months and then the 1st 6 months of 2025 is only about a hundred \$75,000 difference but that still wasn't easy because there's not a whole lot of applications to consider.

00:23:35.392 --> 00:23:46.392

So I appreciate all of the input from board members and from agencies. And from Leon, we've been other staff.

00:23:44.470 --> 00:23:53.470

We've been talking about this so much that I've put it out of my mind, but, You know, here's where I like on the screen.

00:23:51.526 --> 00:24:18.526

I'm showing like where. How I calculated the amounts per priority. Like I don't know that balance is difficult to say means something because everything in the safety and crisis stabilization category you might want to say like gosh where is the federal and state governments when it comes to safety net funding, we know that they have not adequately funded a crisis system.

00:24:11.270 --> 00:24:27.270

So this is that category that priority category is really important ethically. But it's also one that we advocate a lot.

00:24:26.834 --> 00:24:34.834

You know, for the state and feds to do better. Then the others are - tend to be more areas of like.

00:24:34.277 --> 00:24:49.277

That like prevention, Promotion and then - The healing from interpersonal violence unfortunately you know that category grew last year as the Voca money

00:24:48.085 --> 00:25:00.085

Went away which and and it's not back. But, anyway. Ali, I should get more sleep the day before meetings.

00:25:00.020 --> 00:25:16.020

So here's the discussion of the budget impact. It goes on and on and on. Money's been going rising and rising for many years and this year we have the smallest projected increase in a really long time.

00:25:14.654 --> 00:25:22.654

It's 3.4%. Projected for 2025, which is half the money.

00:25:22.712 --> 00:25:27.712

And, we've been looking at like 7 and 8% for the last few years. So.

00:25:27.833 --> 00:25:32.833

That was, I mean, I wasn't really surprised, but it puts us in a little bit of a bind.

00:25:33.965 --> 00:25:41.965

So then you've got, you know, there's a breakdown of Comparisons and that projected increase.

00:25:41.149 --> 00:25:58.149

So I came up with this target 4,852,353 for mental health and substance use contracts and 889,119 for DD contracts.

00:25:57.588 --> 00:26:05.588

And then these notes on affordability really kind like trying to show you because it's 6 months now.

00:26:03.400 --> 00:26:15.400

It's money we have or we pretty sure is coming in and then 6 months of 2025 which There are reasons to wonder what will happen in 2025.

00:26:13.085 --> 00:26:26.085

So I. You know, coming up like I'm facing these assumptions on relatively stable change and Next year could surprise us, but.

00:26:27.084 --> 00:26:34.084

This is what I think is affordable and then. In the decision sections we have.

00:26:33.466 --> 00:26:48.466

This memo is going to be modified. It's in your board packet for next week with some motions inserted and affordability notes taken out and then going through each of the recommendations related to the funding requests.

00:26:47.521 --> 00:26:59.521

Wherever there's a concern expressed, that's taken out a motion is put in, but the amounts that we're recommending in this memo are the same as the amounts that I've put in

00:26:59.906 --> 00:27:09.906

For your meeting next week, but if this discussion substantially changes that I can Get you a new memo in the next couple of days to support

00:27:09.647 --> 00:27:25.647

Next week's meeting. But, we really This was really tough. There, there's just not enough money to do everything and I think Elaine Palencia said these applications have gotten better and better over the years.

00:27:24.643 --> 00:27:30.643

That was my Impression as well. I don't know. There are still gaps.

00:27:29.332 --> 00:27:39.332

There are things obviously when you asked questions we found things that could be better. So those those are showing up as concerns in some of these.

00:27:38.464 --> 00:27:47.464

And then and what just kind of goes through each one if you want to do that we can.

00:27:46.651 --> 00:28:00.651

But I also the very last page. A chart of the recommendations With yellow highlights on everything that's Recommended for less than the request.

00:28:01.397 --> 00:28:06.397

But you don't have yellow highlights in the paper book, so I made copies.

00:28:06.525 --> 00:28:14.525

You're okay with that? Joe, did I give you? Okay. I just thought it might be easier to read.

00:28:14.024 --> 00:28:19.024

Anyway, that's all I have to say. Sorry.

00:28:21.146 --> 00:28:27.146

Yeah, again, don't be sorry. Thank you for again, you and the staff for all your guys hard work.

00:28:25.460 --> 00:28:36.460

I really appreciate it. I know, This is not an easy process for staff or the board or agencies.

00:28:39.901 --> 00:28:43.901

I'll get people, you know, a minute to look at things.

00:28:44.144 --> 00:28:56.144

And I don't know if you've gotten About 11 agencies that are living programs. That are going to be about 11 agencies that are living programs that are going to receive or the recommendation

00:28:54.835 --> 00:29:04.835

Is for less funding for about 11 programs on here. Just so everyone knows. And you might notice that I didn't even make the target.

00:29:01.084 --> 00:29:20.084

The what I thought would be affordable is this. There's 3,625,386 for these mental health and substance use contracts and then the DD portion is down here.

00:29:13.774 --> 00:29:27.774

And as you can. See, I didn't quite make the target. Like, \$60 over and I thought, well, we'll just Spend less on postage.

00:29:28.964 --> 00:29:34.964

Actually, 12 agencies, sorry, said 11-12. I'm gonna see you change on there.

00:29:44.544 --> 00:29:47.544

Does this include some of the things that we've talked about?

00:29:50.482 --> 00:30:05.482

Yeah, yes, I think we had some really good discussions. You know one or 2 board members at a time with us and really interesting things came up.

00:30:03.855 --> 00:30:10.855

I don't think we can implement everything we talked about. So if something is missing that is important to you,

00:30:10.666 --> 00:30:21.666

Please let me know. Like I tried to cover - We had a lot of concerns that I thought we could cover with just asking for more information, but that might not be right.

00:30:15.977 --> 00:30:24.977

There might be more that we need to do.

00:30:28.793 --> 00:30:35.793

I like that a lot of these cuts are kind of Like we've spread the pain out a little bit.

00:30:31.537 --> 00:30:49.537

That makes sense. You know, not all of them. I know that they've been there some programs here with very significant cuts, but a lot of these cuts are, maybe cuts in which people can can tweak

00:30:48.535 --> 00:30:55.535

Their budgets just a little bit and still have some really effective and really wonderful programming for our county.

00:30:55.914 --> 00:30:58.914

I think that you all did a great job.

00:30:58.040 --> 00:31:12.040

There were 3 that. 3 that had either errors or something we shouldn't pay for they're just they amounted to very very small differences and those were grow G cap and terrapin.

00:31:11.477 --> 00:31:27.477

Just very small amounts less than requested, but that was based on discussion with them too of errors like or we we saw the error or GCAP gave us a whole bunch of good detail which is in the packet.

00:31:26.105 --> 00:31:36.105

But then for the most part like A lot of the agencies that are getting what they've requested haven't requested an increase.

00:31:35.852 --> 00:31:45.852

Or they haven't gotten an increase for a long time. One or the other And, man, it was hard.

00:31:46.233 --> 00:32:02.233

And then the ones that are getting the recommendation for less than requested funding are ones that Even though the less even though it's

less funding, it's more funding than we've funded in previous years like for WIN recovery, for example.

00:32:07.982 --> 00:32:09.982  
Go ahead, Molly.

00:32:08.173 --> 00:32:16.173  
I don't know if you want me to do the hand raising figure to just talk. Whatever you all prefer.

00:32:12.799 --> 00:32:29.799  
I also just wanted to make like a linguistic clarification and sometimes we default to using the term cut because it's an easier way to describe or just shorter.

00:32:25.599 --> 00:32:52.599  
But like. I like to I am thinking of it, as reductions to the requested amount like we're not cutting funding from programs where for the programs that are not getting as much as they requested that's like we're reducing the requests not cutting something that is already there.

00:32:48.285 --> 00:33:04.285  
I just wanted to sort of make that note because I know kind of throughout the process of I've had conversations that that terminology has come up as something

00:33:06.853 --> 00:33:10.853  
To consider.

00:33:12.603 --> 00:33:19.603  
Thank you, I really appreciate you pointing out that clarification. Cause that is important to, to make note of.

00:33:28.979 --> 00:33:39.979  
So just for clarification, like with Rosecrance. It appears just doing the math in my head, not on math, that they're taking the least percentage-wise hit from the total money they're coming.

00:33:38.730 --> 00:33:46.730  
So with criminal justice and with crisis co response, they were getting that amount last year. That's why they're not getting a cut.

00:33:46.165 --> 00:33:56.165  
Okay, no those are those are both a 5% increase the benefits case management criminal justice and crisis coresponse.

00:33:55.792 --> 00:34:07.792  
All have a 5% increase. Recovery home has been at that level for a long time. Child and Family Services, the recommendation is to keep it at the level that it's been.

00:34:08.917 --> 00:34:12.917  
And then specialty courts also to keep it at the current level.

00:34:16.537 --> 00:34:23.537

Okay, more for clarification. I'm new to this. So why are they getting a 5% increase while some people are losing?

00:34:22.224 --> 00:34:39.224

Is it because of their wonderful serving of the demographics of the community or? Well, there's there were a lot of increases that were for much more than 5% and those are not being fully funded, but the increase they're getting is more than 5%.

00:34:38.666 --> 00:34:51.666

Even with a couple 1,000 laptop. But trying to but I hear I hear you and there is attached to start actually serving people other than white folks to that right?

00:34:50.037 --> 00:34:57.037

My concern is this. Look, they're monopolizing. They're taking the nonprofit and they're turning it into social entrepreneurship.

00:34:55.102 --> 00:35:03.102

It just means it's capitalism and they're growing, growing, growing, and now they're trying to step into my avenue, which the criminal justice one.

00:35:01.852 --> 00:35:07.852

They're not liked in the community. I'm on the streets, not saying that everyone else here isn't.

00:35:06.351 --> 00:35:12.351

They're not doing a good job. The numbers don't really show they're serving the community, serving their community.

00:35:11.929 --> 00:35:18.929

I don't know. Like I said, I definitely have a bias against them from personal because I hear how bad they are and this and that.

00:35:17.786 --> 00:35:24.786

So. I just don't know. Yeah, what does go ahead and shut Chris up before he says anymore.

00:35:22.923 --> 00:35:29.923

Oh, keep on going, man. It's just the more funding we give them the more we allow them to grow.

00:35:25.661 --> 00:35:36.661

And I know we have no competition in them, but that's a problem. And we're ever gonna have competition if we just keep feeding and feeding.

00:35:34.408 --> 00:35:43.408

We're feeding the status quo and we're letting get worse. Is the community safer? I've been to Chicago last 3 years.

00:35:40.914 --> 00:35:45.914

I don't think it's any safer when it came back. I don't really see what they're doing.

00:35:44.794 --> 00:35:52.794

They should stick to just the mental health counseling and it seems like they want to grow and grow and encroach and encroach and just suck up all the funding they can.

00:35:51.473 --> 00:35:56.473

But then again, like I said, I don't know the inner workings. I'm still new to this.

00:35:55.349 --> 00:36:04.349

But I'm just seeing the evil empire. Can I rephrase that a little bit?

00:36:03.855 --> 00:36:22.855

Let me help you out here. You know, I think Chris raised some good points and I think when we met all of us one on one with or in a group in different groups as you mentioned We all brought up things that, you know, we want to see happen.

00:36:18.107 --> 00:36:33.107

One of the things that I have to share and I think I shared it at our last meeting is that I am disappointed in the different demographics and I will

00:36:32.163 --> 00:36:42.163

Say this over and over again. I've been in mental health for many, many years. I'm not gonna say over about 30 years at this point.

00:36:41.604 --> 00:36:51.604

And it is shocking to see that some of these agencies are calling themselves community services and doing outreach yet

00:36:50.665 --> 00:37:06.665

Are not actually serving the entire community. And so what we talked about, you know, funding, we also talked about different things that You know, maybe we can make contingent upon, you know,

00:37:06.101 --> 00:37:14.101

Funding, you know, not initial funding because we want all of the organizations to be funded obviously because we need them.

00:37:13.347 --> 00:37:26.347

But some of these smaller agencies like for example, you know, aWIN recovery. I mean. I know Molly mentioned that they're not actually losing money.

00:37:25.853 --> 00:37:39.853

But it looks that way to a new person. And so here you have an agency like Chris said that is trying to dominate in our area, but they're not serving everybody.

00:37:37.856 --> 00:37:47.856

But then you have smaller agencies who actually have better demographics. So it feels or it looks or seems as if.

00:37:47.300 --> 00:37:56.300

The other agencies are being rewarded, although they are not serving the community and the smaller ones that actually have better demographics.

00:37:55.808 --> 00:38:02.808

I mean, they're not getting full funding. I don't know how that's fair statistically.

00:38:02.311 --> 00:38:11.311

Given their demographics, but also with their funding. So if you don't mind, would you kindly just kind of share just for educational purposes?

00:38:10.508 --> 00:38:22.508

How that works. Well, there, so there were other concerns with almost all of the other agencies. So it's it's not really apples and oranges from my perspective what the things that we know.

00:38:21.306 --> 00:38:31.306

From from performance reports and the concerns that have been raised by other people. There's There's stuff everywhere.

00:38:29.552 --> 00:38:42.552

So, you could, if you, if you like that as a principle, holding all of the Rosecrance amounts at level to this year.

00:38:40.555 --> 00:38:52.555

The one that's difficult to do this with is the CCRT because you're asked to take on the piece which has used Urbana police as the referral hub.

00:38:51.496 --> 00:39:01.496

For people who are in crisis. So it's it's difficult to see like I mean, maybe you just take 5% off of those.

00:39:00.803 --> 00:39:15.803

Of the 3 that would be benefits case management, Criminal justice, and crisis co-response. If you took 5% off of each of those, then you could add more money to other things.

00:39:15.928 --> 00:39:28.928

It's, I don't have. I don't have an explanation of why I would want to reward someone for doing poorly with demographics because I wasn't thinking of it that way.

00:39:27.685 --> 00:39:37.685

I was thinking we have sort of an ecosystem of Of agencies, we were talking about not expanding anything.

00:39:37.429 --> 00:39:44.429

And this scenario doesn't really expand anything, it adds GCAP and that's the only new thing it adds.

00:39:43.062 --> 00:39:53.062

It doesn't fully fund the proposed expansion at 1st followers or women in need recovery, but it gives each of them an increase.

00:39:52.494 --> 00:40:00.494

And only because they haven't had an increase in a long time, not with the expectation That they could do the expansion.

00:40:01.184 --> 00:40:08.184

So it, it was more like. You know, don't do the extra stuff, but here's some extra money.

00:40:10.871 --> 00:40:14.871

I don't have the numbers in front of me. You might be able to confirm this doctor.

00:40:14.120 --> 00:40:21.120

Isn't it seem like the organizations we fund the most are the ones that have the biggest problems with serving the correct demographics?

00:40:20.236 --> 00:40:29.236

WIN, 1st followers, terrapin. All those people are serving everybody. Then once we get up to what we're paying of more, it's like they do less.

00:40:28.550 --> 00:40:45.550

I'm not don't they don't do less they just serve I don't know if that's selective or not but somehow they're just not advertising where they need to be advertising and they plan I mean they're paying all these consultants can't want them be like hey we need to be here we need to reach these people we're paying you it's your job and you don't come in

00:40:46.062 --> 00:40:53.062

here and tell us that well these people just don't come to us which I think I kind of heard a couple times a couple weeks ago.

00:40:56.748 --> 00:40:58.748

Yeah, go ahead, Molly.

00:41:00.866 --> 00:41:18.866

I was wondering if, are we going to go like through each, program and kind of talk about each one individually in addition to kind of having a larger discussion about kind of the whole application for that we're doing right now.

00:41:16.737 --> 00:41:25.737

I mean, we can do it, however, as a group we decide we want to. If you want to go down one by one, people have time, we can definitely do that.

00:41:25.489 --> 00:41:35.489

I would say I'm okay with that, but before we do that I have a couple like general Rosecrans questions, but no, go ahead.

00:41:34.562 --> 00:41:44.562

Okay, so I feel like we. And I'm saying this very respectfully to everyone who's watching listening in the room.

00:41:42.744 --> 00:41:49.744

I think we've kind of brought up some some big. Worms out of the can just now.

00:41:49.563 --> 00:41:58.563

And so I guess I am questioning like maybe clarification. Like when you say they're not Serving and I'm not questioning you I just I need educated here.

00:41:54.493 --> 00:42:09.493

They're not serving all demographics. Are you saying that like if an African-american person or a Hispanic person goes in and ask for services, are they being turned away?

00:42:09.184 --> 00:42:16.184

Or how are they? Discriminating against like other demographics.

00:42:15.244 --> 00:42:23.244

I would never accuse an agency or any of you of being discriminatory. So we're gonna scratch that.

00:42:23.115 --> 00:42:31.115

From the record because that's excuse me that's not what I said that's not what Chris said Okay, but not serving all demographics.

00:42:31.555 --> 00:42:34.555

So I guess give me an example of not serving all demographics.

00:42:36.131 --> 00:42:48.131

You had an example. In your binder last week if you looked at the demographics that each company that's asking for funding showed.

00:42:47.740 --> 00:43:12.740

You could see the breakdown between gender and race. And that's why I talked about it. Because when I looked at it and all of you have this information that the dominant culture and gender actually that's being served as Caucasian men and so that's why I brought it up is if you are serving the community different than me if I decided to open a private practice and I say I'm serving the community

00:43:11.552 --> 00:43:20.552

I mean you you you you you, you, you, you, you, you, Latin, Asian, everybody, I can serve a dog on dog, but I'm not gonna ask the mental health.

00:43:20.178 --> 00:43:38.178

Board for you know, close to a million dollars without showing. That I care about the community enough to do outreach and to justify your lack of outreach by, for example, And this is not coming from me.

00:43:36.561 --> 00:43:47.561

This came from them. And also you, you know, you gave the example of a family that you worked with that said, we just don't do that.

00:43:43.242 --> 00:44:04.242

You remember that? Okay. That's what I call. Something totally different that mindset, but it perpetuates what you mentioned, you know, and so what happened is what's happening is you have a whole you know, race of of different people who aren't being served.

00:44:03.561 --> 00:44:10.561

So you can't say you served a community if you're not in the community doing outreach and that's my point.

00:44:12.620 --> 00:44:21.620

So does that get back to the reputation maybe the talk on the street is that there may be not as accepting to all demographics.

00:44:22.626 --> 00:44:32.626

Yes, but that's their job is to be accepting to confront that. Yeah, because what it is is when they blame the culture, they're victim blaming.

00:44:32.058 --> 00:44:41.058

They're saying, oh, you don't want help. It's not our problem. No, you need to go to them and tell them, educate them, get in the schools, because I believe they said they were in the schools.

00:44:39.738 --> 00:44:48.738

That's questionable. A lot of stuff they said was questionable. I mean, they say you have lied to me when I asked, you know, do you have any people on your staff that I've lived experience?

00:44:42.867 --> 00:44:54.867

Oh, we don't know. You don't do background checks? Oh, yeah.

00:44:51.057 --> 00:44:58.057

I mean, that's a different thing. I don't remember. Yeah, I think that's Like who?

00:44:57.489 --> 00:45:06.489

Who they're serving versus. Who is doing the serving those are 2 different things. I don't know if we can really.

00:45:06.867 --> 00:45:14.867

Doing the serving. It's more welcome. Those closest to the problem are closest to the problem, it's more welcome. Those closest to the problem are closest to the solution.

00:45:13.614 --> 00:45:17.614

I'm sorry to keep saying that, but it is. The problem are closest to the solution. I'm sorry to keep saying that, but it is.

00:45:17.010 --> 00:45:27.010

If you have black folks, it's going to be more scepted, but it is. If you have black folks, it's going to be more septic black polls coming in.

00:45:24.641 --> 00:45:37.641

When you have criminal justice thing, it's going to be more accepted black polls coming in. When you have criminal justice thing, you got someone who's been locked up, rousing experience.

00:45:33.823 --> 00:45:43.823

It's more like, oh, I, okay, you might get me. A shared trauma isn't necessarily a good thing, but it means talking.

00:45:41.568 --> 00:45:55.568

At 1st followers, I worked for them for years. Yeah, like r 6 6 3 4 3 boom rapport is built and it's all about building good rapport within your community they don't seem interested in doing that they seem interested in hearing a bunch of MSWs that come out of UIUC because a lot of my friends are there now.

00:45:45.257 --> 00:45:46.257

For instance, an example, just a quick one I'm not going to come near the whole meeting.

00:45:55.578 --> 00:46:03.578

Stop yourself. I'm kidding. Let me just jump in for a moment here. I mean, I.

00:46:02.946 --> 00:46:08.946

Before Rosecrans was Rosecranson Yes, I've been in this community for a while.

00:46:07.005 --> 00:46:14.005

Worked at the Mental Health Center, Champaign County Mental Health Center before it became Rosecrance.

00:46:13.320 --> 00:46:21.320

And what we did outreach. I mean, that was an outreach order. Went to the schools, went to the homes.

00:46:20.633 --> 00:46:27.633

Went into the neighbourhood. It wasn't about having an MSW or LCSW or whatever it was about.

00:46:26.322 --> 00:46:36.322

Getting to know the population in the school, in the church when I went to church, I was in the basement of him, Salem

00:46:35.205 --> 00:46:45.205

And did all those kinds of things and I think that is what is missing. And that is what We're talking about.

00:46:44.140 --> 00:46:56.140

I mean, when you have a worker who is committed to being a part of the community as an outreach worker, not just you've got to come to my office to make me.

00:46:55.766 --> 00:47:03.766

Then you're really working with a person, working with a family in every which way you can. Yes, Jon is a doctor.

00:47:05.200 --> 00:47:12.200

He has stayed his office. However, I wasn't 1 of the doctor. I was out on the street with them.

00:47:14.070 --> 00:47:24.070

I would argue also that if you're taking public money to do a public service You know, for a lot of folks in our community.

00:47:25.319 --> 00:47:27.319

Coming into.

00:47:29.138 --> 00:47:36.138

Some of these agencies is, is, is It's, it's not necessarily welcoming for everybody.

00:47:34.571 --> 00:47:44.571

You know, people have been disenfranchised by the healthcare industry. They've been disenfranchised by the government, you know, they've been disenfranchised in lots of different ways.

00:47:43.507 --> 00:47:52.507

So if you're taking public money and you know, and I, I work for an agency that You know, we are the public, right?

00:47:52.009 --> 00:48:04.009

So. The people that we serve and I'm only speaking from I. From my experience now, the people that we serve don't always just walk in the door when they need help.

00:48:04.084 --> 00:48:22.084

Sometimes the people that we serve. We give a bottle of water to on the street, you know, for weeks, you know, and then maybe it's a rain pon show and then maybe, you know, 2 months down the road, they come in and they start asking about services that are, you know, that the ones that are actually gonna save their lives.

00:48:22.083 --> 00:48:30.083

And it's a little different when we're talking about mental health, certainly. But the point is the connections have to be made.

00:48:29.606 --> 00:48:41.606

So if you know that there are groups of folks in our community hurting and And then everybody who is serving these populations knows they are.

00:48:40.793 --> 00:48:49.793

Then then What is the work that you need to do to get those folks in the door? That's all.

00:48:49.352 --> 00:48:54.352

Well, and again, like I'm not trying to like defend myself, but I really just needed.

00:48:53.537 --> 00:49:02.537

Better knowledge, you know, and I think what's so wonderful about the group of us is we all come from different sectors of life.

00:49:01.226 --> 00:49:11.226

And, you know, I guess I just needed a clarification of that because I don't want them to be in like, oh yeah, we serve all, but then word on the street is.

00:49:10.349 --> 00:49:20.349

If you're not Caucasian, they don't want to deal with you because you could be dangerous or you could be whatever and I just wanted that clarification.

00:49:18.975 --> 00:49:28.975

And, and again, a couple of you have met with me on some of these things. I'm not a hundred percent supporter of everything Rose Kranz does.

00:49:27.305 --> 00:49:40.305

I have a lot of questions, but Again, we talked I think you had brought up the word about monopolizing well No offence, but my friend here works for a big monopoly.

00:49:39.692 --> 00:49:52.692

I mean, let's just be honest. I mean, so yeah, I, I was, and again, because I'm all about, you know, the Open Meetings Act and so that I wish we could have had this conversation just

00:49:52.928 --> 00:50:00.928

Quietly talking, but because you can't do that, I'm glad that we feel comfortable to bring up some things.

00:49:59.310 --> 00:50:11.310

Today I was in a meeting I brought the word grace and I always say I don't ever want to offend someone but I just Sometimes I just have to ask the question, I may not say it eloquently.

00:50:05.057 --> 00:50:22.057

And I totally, 100% believe we need. More, you know, non Caucasian teachers and doctors and lawyers and things like that.

00:50:19.817 --> 00:50:31.817

But I've been a school president for 9 years and I can tell you how many people of non Caucasian you know ancestry applied at my school district.

00:50:30.804 --> 00:50:40.804

Probably about 3. I mean, we just couldn't recruit them. But that doesn't mean that I was a bad school board president or I had a bad superintendent.

00:50:39.372 --> 00:50:45.372

We just couldn't get him to come. Even though we wanted them and reached tried to recruit them.

00:50:44.175 --> 00:51:05.175

I think we don't really have to justify this. The numbers do. They speak for themselves, you know, so we don't have to continuously talk about our opinions to me or stance on it, you know, if these agencies welcome minorities, that's great.

00:51:04.555 --> 00:51:12.555

There is the reason why they aren't showing up and why they're numbers are low and that was my point and that we need to either work with them.

00:51:11.056 --> 00:51:25.056

To make sure that those numbers increase. Or we if they don't want to because the word on the street is that they are not welcoming to minorities either for employment.

00:51:24.369 --> 00:51:33.369

Or services. That is the word on the street. We can change that because we do need them, but they have to be willing.

00:51:32.120 --> 00:51:48.120

To change. And that's what I needed to hear. I needed to hear that the word on the street or the people who have or have tried or who are currently receiving services don't feel like it's a welcoming.

00:51:48.299 --> 00:51:56.299

And environment that they're going to be able to grow and continue to be better in themselves and a community member.

00:51:55.366 --> 00:52:12.366

So thank you for that insight, cause I wasn't aware. And it's a bias. Is in and of itself, you know, to to justify your numbers by saying black people don't seek mental health care.

00:52:07.180 --> 00:52:18.180

That's a bias in and of itself. And that's what I think is communicated to the community, but.

00:52:17.185 --> 00:52:33.185

When I went to church on Sunday, I received a standing ovation. For talking about mental health and letting them know that some of the big agencies think that our community does not want to seek mental health services.

00:52:32.872 --> 00:52:46.872

Do you do you all realize that one of the problems is exactly what you said, Joe? That they aren't reaching out to you have, let me, and then I'll be done.

00:52:44.623 --> 00:52:54.623

I promise you have an African-american superintendent in champagne schools. You have an African, I think she's African-american in herana, right?

00:52:54.928 --> 00:53:04.928

All black pastors including mine within a mile or 2 radius. Some people I've never even heard of some of these big agencies.

00:53:00.375 --> 00:53:14.375

So this is not a matter of the community not wanting to receive mental health services. This is about the agency not reaching out.

00:53:07.866 --> 00:53:35.866

And that's where I want to stay focused because we can change that. Together as long as they're willing but if they're resistant and they keep justifying their their problems with their statistics because it is a problem with the fact that you know the African American community, for example, does not seek mental health care, then they don't want to change.

00:53:33.988 --> 00:53:42.988

And that's why I think we have a problem with their numbers. They're too high if they're not going to serve the community -

00:53:42.124 --> 00:53:54.124

Everyone in the community. Wanted to say thank you for asking the question. If people who are clarification get clarification because I'm in academia where I can ask questions like that.

00:53:53.442 --> 00:54:03.442

Or all get canceled. So like I said, I usually probably don't say it very eloquently, but my intent in my grace and love is always there.

00:53:59.742 --> 00:54:14.742

So you know I just want to say one thing before we move on to going through these age through the programs.

00:54:02.312 --> 00:54:07.312

Please believe that. I'm the least eloquent person on the board so it's works.

00:54:13.616 --> 00:54:21.616

You know, as someone in medicine, one thing we have is quality improvement projects. I don't know if other people have it too.

00:54:22.302 --> 00:54:37.302

And so, you know, we all have blind spots. And, and we don't know it, until someone tells us and we have to take things, you know, and have

thick skin and try to take it as constructive criticism to improve those things.

00:54:35.935 --> 00:54:46.935

It doesn't mean anybody's doing something bad. Doesn't mean anything about your bad person or a bad agency or anything like that.

00:54:45.054 --> 00:54:59.054

As long as you're taking it as a constructive criticism and using it to improve upon You know, your your deficits, you know, like even as in our clinics like, you know.

00:54:59.308 --> 00:55:17.308

Things that we do is trying to make sure kids are seeing the dentists and brushing their teeth and you know because there's increased risk of cavities and we didn't talk about those things before and so we had more kids with cavities and said okay we need to work on this oh kids aren't going to see the eye doctor oh we're making sure like kids are having speech delay making sure they're getting in and

00:55:16.928 --> 00:55:28.928

getting that you know caught up sooner like there's certain things that you always want to be approving upon looking at any of your weaknesses as an organization or as a person or as a company and trying to improve upon those things.

00:55:26.994 --> 00:55:37.994

And if you're not, then you know, things will slowly deteriorate and you know you May not be as successful as.

00:55:37.555 --> 00:55:50.555

You are hoping you should be. So I just hope that agencies listening take things with as constructive criticism. And try to, you know.

00:55:49.065 --> 00:56:03.065

Maybe do a quality improvement project to improve. Different aspects of their programs and agencies. I don't know if Molly's on if she has any.

00:56:02.123 --> 00:56:13.123

Comments or questions but we can go to the 1st program if you want which is the homeless service system coordination.

00:56:12.362 --> 00:56:22.362

It's on page 41. So the recommendation was the funded at the requested annual level and offer a two-year term.

00:56:20.990 --> 00:56:34.990

And honestly, I didn't have any problem. With with doing that. You know, we do fund most of it, 74% of the program.

00:56:36.619 --> 00:56:40.619

And then.

00:56:47.239 --> 00:56:57.239

I didn't have any issues with that. Anyone have any questions about the 1st program? Alright, the next program was to see you at home shelter case management program.

00:56:57.936 --> 00:57:04.936  
\$256,000. Again, 66% of the total program revenue

00:57:09.146 --> 00:57:24.146  
This and the previous one have been at these levels for a long time. Exploring a new service delivery model, which would make this level of funding.

00:57:23.507 --> 00:57:35.507  
Well, they have other sources of funding, so they might not need more than them from the mental health board, but I thought it sort of indicated to me like They might not take a two-year contract.

00:57:36.895 --> 00:57:44.895  
Okay. Any questions about this program?

00:57:46.156 --> 00:58:06.156  
Yeah, I, this is Molly. I just wanted to highlight on This program and the previous one, under the concerns area, the mention of the fact that like securing funding from other sources may be something important.

00:57:47.215 --> 00:57:49.215  
I can't see it.

00:58:05.960 --> 00:58:17.960  
For the future. Especially considering that other units of government. Experience benefit from these programs and may be able to contribute financially.

00:58:19.583 --> 00:58:23.583  
I just wanted to make sure that that was highlighted.

00:58:30.773 --> 00:58:32.773  
Thank you, Molly.

00:58:32.206 --> 00:58:44.206  
Any other questions, comments? Alright, next one is the CU Early. And that is for \$80,000, 16% of total program revenue.

00:58:43.152 --> 00:58:53.152  
Again, this one is to. Fund how many if it's 1 or 2

00:58:51.964 --> 00:58:59.964  
Employees that are bilingual that are serving Spanish-speaking households and Mayan as well.

00:59:00.291 --> 00:59:14.291  
And I personally experience interact with the people who do this and they are an amazing. Group and they are basically like they seem like their family with these people that they're serving.

00:59:10.847 --> 00:59:22.847

When they come in, they are playing with the kids in the office and have a great report with mom like mom seems to trust them.

00:59:21.723 --> 00:59:41.723

You know very like they have a very strong bond it seems like and she's a great the workers are great advocates for these families and they make sure they get their appointments they make sure if there's any questions that they get answered and I mean, it just It's, it's a great.

00:59:41.286 --> 00:59:52.286

Program and I can't say enough good things about this. So I am glad that. You guys recommended funding at the requested level and offering a 2 year term for this program.

00:59:52.162 --> 01:00:05.162

Who's bad? No, don't tell me bad news. The bad news is just we learned since your study session that Isby is not going to do the RFP again.

01:00:04.660 --> 01:00:14.660

And so that's why a two-year term would actually be helpful. Okay. That, you know, we were hoping a 1-year term and then the ISB funding would come back but they're not issuing the RFP next year.

01:00:13.667 --> 01:00:14.667

So.

01:00:17.724 --> 01:00:22.724

So I like 2 your terms, but. Okay.

01:00:26.040 --> 01:00:34.040

I just wanted to say that, the fact that it's being not gonna be offering that, is extremely disappointing.

01:00:42.913 --> 01:00:55.913

Oh yeah. I mean, they reach people that are very hard to reach in the community that have a hard time accessing resources and knowing how to access resources.

01:00:55.475 --> 01:01:03.475

You know. So I'm just glad that we have him. So.

01:01:03.288 --> 01:01:12.288

With that we'll move on to the early childhood mental health services. For \$388,000.

01:01:11.424 --> 01:01:19.424

It's 100% of total program. Or recommending funding \$3,000 less.

01:01:22.544 --> 01:01:25.544

I mean, Karen, remember what the funding was the previous year.

01:01:33.233 --> 01:01:41.233

It was. It's only a 12, it's a 12% increase, so it was 347,000 last year.

01:01:42.412 --> 01:01:47.412

So it's an increase about. I can't do math. 38,000.

01:01:55.224 --> 01:02:01.224

Yeah, about 12%. But the recommended increase will be a little less than 12%.

01:02:09.542 --> 01:02:14.542

So it'll be about 10.9, it's 11% increase instead.

01:02:23.411 --> 01:02:34.411

Any questions about this one? All right, we'll move on to the disability services. That's for a hundred \$5,000, 94% of total program revenue.

01:02:30.287 --> 01:02:41.287

Recommendation is for \$3,000 less and offering a two-year term.

01:02:41.664 --> 01:02:48.664

I didn't have any questions about this one. It's about Well, it was going to be a 15% increase.

01:02:49.908 --> 01:02:52.908

So it'll probably be a little less than that.

01:02:55.603 --> 01:03:01.603

Did they look for any for a reason? Sorry, it's opposite. You're right. It's opposite.

01:03:02.287 --> 01:03:03.287

Cause they had

01:03:05.602 --> 01:03:12.602

This was, I think it was 91,500 last year and a year before it was 71,000.

01:03:11.292 --> 01:03:22.292

So I, I mean, I appreciate this is a really good program and we heard great things about it and I You know.

01:03:21.100 --> 01:03:31.100

That was an uncomfortable reduction to recommend to you, but I, they do have other, they have a lot of fundraised funds.

01:03:30.545 --> 01:03:38.545

I don't know if they've applied a lot of fundraised funds to any of the programs funded by the mental health board, but they do a little.

01:03:37.546 --> 01:03:46.546

So my hope was maybe they could do more. And this was not about like Programs not worth it.

01:03:44.283 --> 01:03:55.283

And I appreciate the conversation that you all just had. Very appreciate that. You know, this this program has pretty good reach.

01:03:54.220 --> 01:04:02.220

It may. It may have reached, I think, Molly Mcleigh and I looked at. Comparison of this one with the benefits.

01:04:01.606 --> 01:04:09.606

Program at Rosecrans and that one actually reached more people than this one. So, but they both.

01:04:11.409 --> 01:04:16.409

Very important service. Okay, so it's going up about 11.5%

01:04:16.793 --> 01:04:25.793

Yeah. Any questions about this one? And I, you know, I agree with the recommendation for a two-year term.

01:04:24.976 --> 01:04:41.976

The next one is courage connection. But just like just from the perspective of what Mental health boards are most well suited to do by statute and by the operating environment.

01:04:43.599 --> 01:04:54.599

Those benefits acquisition programs are like gold like that and prevention just Like, no, there's just no reason not to fund stuff like that if it's working, so.

01:04:57.034 --> 01:05:04.034

Again, it still just kills me that We have to fund people to help people get benefits from the government.

01:04:59.670 --> 01:05:12.670

So the government has to fund to hire people in the community to help people in the community get funds from the government.

01:05:05.976 --> 01:05:17.976

Like just it's so circular. It should just be automatic. And we just get AI to just do it now.

01:05:15.107 --> 01:05:23.107

Just like Spipe your, type of card. Just like, OK, you get this, you know.

01:05:22.471 --> 01:05:27.471

Like you shouldn't have to do your taxes. Like, come on. Like I said, already be automatically calculated.

01:05:24.113 --> 01:05:33.113

Like, how does that not already? Government information. I think it's a culture of cruelty.

01:05:33.799 --> 01:05:46.799

I was going to say. Apropos of that. Even when they get benefits, it's typically not even enough to like fund a market rate apartment in our community.

01:05:49.850 --> 01:05:59.850

Yeah, all that work just to still barely be struggling and maybe not still make it. You know. Yeah, very stressful.

01:05:59.235 --> 01:06:07.235

Do we cover courage connection? Oh, sorry. So it's okay.

01:06:07.036 --> 01:06:15.036

So, courage connection, it's 128,000, less than \$1,000 increase from previous year.

01:06:13.922 --> 01:06:24.922

So less than 1% increase on the recommended. Against 5% of total program revenue. They recommended funding at the requested level and offering a two-year term.

01:06:26.793 --> 01:06:30.793

I don't have any questions about that one.

01:06:30.351 --> 01:06:42.351

Okay. The next one is the echo housing and employment support. For \$203,000.

01:06:43.488 --> 01:06:46.488

Then previous.

01:06:46.298 --> 01:06:58.298

Yeah, yeah, previous year. Let's. I know this one's a big increase. It was a hundred 27,000 last year.

01:06:58.039 --> 01:07:10.039

Does a 60% increase. You know it's covering a hundred percent full-time employment counsellor 50% full-time case aid which is vacant at the time of the application.

01:07:10.480 --> 01:07:17.480

25% of full time case manager and then a but other little. Portions of other jobs.

01:07:23.475 --> 01:07:30.475

I mean, I guess my understanding of this one was that it's going to Help the caseworker.

01:07:29.615 --> 01:07:38.615

With the case aid. So they're not going to be seeing it.

01:07:40.500 --> 01:07:47.500

As many more like a bunch more people. It's just they're helping those people more. Yeah.

01:07:47.867 --> 01:08:01.867

Yeah, go ahead. This has a I think the answer that they gave us were pretty good and and not like super long to read this wasn't But the question was about that specific assistance line, like how do they figure that out?

01:08:00.438 --> 01:08:09.438

It's for flexible funds like high fidelity wrap around which we know is a very effective approach.

01:08:08.054 --> 01:08:23.054

The reason for recommending a lower amount than requested was partly the large agency, but also because Illinois is working on 1115 waiver which will reimburse certain housing supports.

01:08:22.364 --> 01:08:33.364

Like that's, they're not, Illinois is not like jumping ahead into, Food is medicine is a coming 1115 waver option.

01:08:27.927 --> 01:08:42.927

Illinois does not appear poised to do that, but housing supports they might do. I don't know I I don't have enough connection.

01:08:42.112 --> 01:08:48.112

I think Cunningham probably knows a lot more than I do. About where Illinois is out with that proposal.

01:08:45.935 --> 01:09:00.935

But that just seemed to me like maybe there will be relief next year. For them. So this will be an increase of 57%, but obviously it's hiring more people.

01:09:01.429 --> 01:09:09.429

To provide more services. So that is the reason for the increase. No, the specific assistance was the bigger.

01:09:07.684 --> 01:09:16.684

The bigger. You're right, the hiring of the case aid, but also that increase in specific assistance, which is for high fidelity wrap around purchases.

01:09:16.929 --> 01:09:27.929

Like a bus pass. Because what I mean since I also worked there at some point in my life. Is that many of young people coming out of places like this.

01:09:26.743 --> 01:09:33.743

If they don't have that high fidelity wrap around end up in a correctional system.

01:09:33.744 --> 01:09:42.744

Pretty much go for So I'm like Cunningham too. Wherever and that's what you're trying to prevent.

01:09:44.496 --> 01:09:51.496

Baby now pay me later. What of? And that's what we have. Happens.

01:09:54.493 --> 01:10:03.493

So I mean, it looks like. 44,000 for like the staff personnel and then like another 29,000 for like the assistance

01:09:55.053 --> 01:09:57.053

No, I think. Oh, go ahead, John. Sorry.

01:10:05.114 --> 01:10:07.114

Just for clarification.

01:10:07.872 --> 01:10:15.872

Yeah, I was going to add that the high fidelity wrap around support I think is a really it's a really effective.

01:10:15.876 --> 01:10:27.876

Approach and I also really appreciate when an agency is thinking about it expansion, not just in terms of expanding reach to

01:10:28.739 --> 01:10:45.739

To the client populations they're working with, but also expansion in terms of. Taking care of staff and I think the idea behind this is my understanding was partly to help the case road.

01:10:44.430 --> 01:10:55.430

Of the case manager by having this case aid That's not something that I necessarily I'm seeing a lot of attention to.

01:10:54.551 --> 01:11:03.551

But I think, you know, we have a major problem of burnout in the mental health field.

01:11:04.114 --> 01:11:25.114

I am a case load management in case was getting really large. A big part of that. So when an agency is making active efforts to reduce the burden on the staff and trying to get them some support I think that's something that's to be commended.

01:11:25.866 --> 01:11:28.866

That's right. I agree, Molly. Thank you so much.

01:11:32.177 --> 01:11:35.177

And again, staff recommended offering a 2 year term.

01:11:34.995 --> 01:11:47.995

And then hopefully they can fill the position as well. Would that will move on to the next one, which is the Families Stronger Together also by Cunningham.

01:11:47.064 --> 01:11:55.064

Again, we found about And, but we found a hundred percent of it. It's 282,000 recommended full funding.

01:11:56.998 --> 01:11:59.998  
And offering a 2 year term.

01:12:03.432 --> 01:12:05.432  
And

01:12:04.615 --> 01:12:11.615  
And if I recall this was the program that is requesting less than they have in the past because they got additional funding.

01:12:11.736 --> 01:12:13.736  
Yeah. So it's about 30% less.

01:12:15.550 --> 01:12:17.550  
Love to see it.

01:12:15.556 --> 01:12:24.556  
Yeah. So, I mean, if you add all the Cunningham's requests, like they're asking for less overall total.

01:12:25.183 --> 01:12:33.183  
Cause it's about a hundred 1,000, 1,000 and 17,000 less

01:12:34.309 --> 01:12:41.309  
And they're not just gonna keep asking more and more, they, you know, trying to find other sources of funding.

01:12:42.366 --> 01:12:49.366  
They're trying to be, you know purposeful with the funds that they're using. So I do really appreciate that.

01:12:52.683 --> 01:13:01.683  
I will never stop thinking about how how we could work ourselves out of this job because when it comes down to it.

01:13:03.681 --> 01:13:08.681  
Why don't we as a society to the right that.

01:13:11.361 --> 01:13:14.361  
Invest in people.

01:13:14.238 --> 01:13:20.238  
And doesn't seem like that's the purpose of the people. They have the power right now, right?

01:13:19.736 --> 01:13:33.736  
At keeping keeping more power for yourself and making sure you have more money for yourself for the for the future as opposed to you know, serving the community and making sure the community as a whole is healthy.

01:13:32.991 --> 01:13:39.991  
You know. Yeah. Sorry, don't mean to be Seneca or Pessimistic, sorry.

01:13:39.176 --> 01:13:51.176

Alright. Hmm. So the next item is the CU change. It's for 85,000.

01:13:50.427 --> 01:13:57.427

I also brought to say, I don't know if I said we offering a 2 year term for Cunningham.

01:13:57.930 --> 01:14:10.930

For the family stronger together. And then for C you change it's 85,500 and staff recommended 3,000 less 82,500

01:14:12.619 --> 01:14:19.619

And then that would be an increase still, cause last year was 75,000. So about 7,000.

01:14:18.804 --> 01:14:25.804

More so about 10% but it's 7,500 more so about 10% increase. Previous year.

01:14:24.941 --> 01:14:27.941

So that's still not bad.

01:14:28.489 --> 01:14:35.489

I don't have my pages. I forgot to bring 45 and 46 with me.

01:14:34.051 --> 01:14:42.051

Okay. I left it at home. I'm so sorry. Thanks.

01:14:41.127 --> 01:14:50.127

So staff. Recommended.

01:14:49.997 --> 01:14:53.997

I'll say it to your term may not be appropriate.

01:14:55.677 --> 01:15:01.677

Yeah, so I guess would offer a 1 year term on this one. For the CU change.

01:15:02.429 --> 01:15:10.429

Really? Just because of the changes that they're going through and they may. On a different amount.

01:15:10.925 --> 01:15:16.925

Leon hasn't said a single word. But this is a program he works very closely with.

01:15:19.486 --> 01:15:30.486

Go ahead, Leon. It's not that I'm a afraid to speak it's Just keeping my some of my comments to myself.

01:15:27.176 --> 01:15:39.176

Yeah, I work. Closely with Don Moyer Boys and Girls Club. I used to work for the organization and I.

01:15:39.637 --> 01:15:47.637

Was the director of the program so I know how the program works inside and out. It's,

01:15:47.140 --> 01:15:55.140

The mission, the the scope of the program is very good. You know, it's an intensive case management program.

01:15:54.885 --> 01:16:04.885

You know, their target number is, on point. I think it's 20 per K on the case load, which is Awesome.

01:16:06.631 --> 01:16:14.631

As I said before, it's very intensive. A lot of work. Working with the families, going into the schools.

01:16:14.071 --> 01:16:27.071

Checking on the youth making sure they're attending classes, pulling grades. Yeah, it's a pretty solid program, but I think you know, some of the challenges.

01:16:26.007 --> 01:16:35.007

Historically has been just keeping staff. You know, keep them staff on board and.

01:16:34.093 --> 01:16:52.093

Some of the administrative challenges, I'll just say that. Again, I like what I like the aim of the program, but I think one year would be appropriate just to kind of gauge and and see how things go.

01:16:53.723 --> 01:16:57.723

So that's just my 2 cents.

01:17:01.585 --> 01:17:14.585

What's not being said here? I got a feeling there's something. And I don't know, is there something, Maybe I'm disc completely misreading the room.

01:17:15.082 --> 01:17:17.082

I do that from time to time.

01:17:18.402 --> 01:17:30.402

This is there has this year has been very stable compared to a couple of years ago when I think we had 11 Is it 11? I don't know.

01:17:30.154 --> 01:17:40.154

There there was an amazing number of agencies with brand new directors. And and then there was turnover at the at the top level.

01:17:38.095 --> 01:17:47.095

So when there's turnover like that in a large agency like this you know, trying to serve kids who are not connected.

01:17:42.280 --> 01:17:58.280

That, that can be really tricky. We just, they have asked to continue participating in that. Evaluation project as a target program to work on outcomes.

01:17:57.587 --> 01:18:06.587

The outcome I want them to work on maybe sounds ridiculous to professionals in the field. I just want kids to have hope

01:18:06.017 --> 01:18:16.017

You know, and so like you know, and for the program to allow itself to simplify towards helping these kids get connected and have hope.

01:18:13.966 --> 01:18:20.966

But like Leon said, they've been in transition for the last few years.

01:18:20.711 --> 01:18:28.711

Did they ever have a 2 year Agreement? Then what changed now

01:18:28.019 --> 01:18:36.019

To where they're having one is it what you said about the administration and the turnover and unstable program.

01:18:35.589 --> 01:18:44.589

You know, we could offer a 2 year term and see what happens. I was frankly, I was concerned that they would say no because it was less than requested.

01:18:43.586 --> 01:18:54.586

Because the increase is while it was a high percentage, the increases were just like - staff costs were 3% increased and then there were some overhead pieces that seemed reasonable.

01:18:55.393 --> 01:19:04.393

So I was worried that they would say. They can't do this for 2 years for that amount of money, but we could offer and find out.

01:19:06.152 --> 01:19:17.152

I know. I mean, I personally and I can't speak for everyone, but I would like to discuss that a little bit more with them.

01:19:16.351 --> 01:19:26.351

I mean, you know, it is one of the long serving programs in this area as well and they do service kids.

01:19:24.224 --> 01:19:40.224

You know, this is a good program. I don't know if this is their, remember I was the one that had the question about how much they were asking to make sure they were asking for enough money, because I was concerned because we do need to keep our child programs.

01:19:36.605 --> 01:19:49.605

I mean, they have direct access to the schools, I mean, they have direct access to the schools, which some of the other organizations aren't even

going into the schools, which some of the other organizations aren't even going into the schools.

01:19:48.302 --> 01:19:51.302

So when I hear about access to the schools, which some of the other organizations aren't even going into the schools.

01:19:50.908 --> 01:19:53.908

So when I hear about that, I know that they are doing outreach.

01:19:54.669 --> 01:20:01.669

Not just for everyone, but you know, they're probably working with parents and everything. And so I think we need to try to.

01:20:01.416 --> 01:20:11.416

You know, keep this program, but also to fund them and maybe offer them a longer contract. If they turn it down, they turn it down.

01:20:10.735 --> 01:20:17.735

But, there's, they're pretty stable in the community. So I would hate to see us

01:20:17.981 --> 01:20:29.981

Not treat them the same as the other agencies. This is a very similar population to that served by Cunningham's families stronger together.

01:20:28.481 --> 01:20:35.481

These are kids who are. You know, maybe. From JDC.

01:20:34.721 --> 01:20:43.721

Or so it's a really important population but they're also it is very hard to connect with them continuously.

01:20:45.353 --> 01:20:47.353

That's that's been an issue, right?

01:20:47.543 --> 01:20:52.543

I mean, absolutely. This is, again, this is intensive. So you have to.

01:20:52.297 --> 01:21:03.297

An intensive case management program so you have to find out where the youth are you have to spend time with the families to create to build that wrap around support.

01:21:03.053 --> 01:21:15.053

For the youth you have to attend IEP meetings you need to if they're in JDC, see if you can set up appointments to visit the youth there.

01:21:17.370 --> 01:21:28.370

I think. Again, just And I'm trying my best not to be biased because I I know the program.

01:21:27.740 --> 01:21:38.740

Applied. You know, for funds for the program. At some point we were aiming too high.

01:21:35.555 --> 01:21:54.555

Our number target number was 50. And so when you have 50 on a case load, for one person, that's entirely too much, even for 2 people, based on the I think they had maybe we had about 9 different outcomes that we were trying to reach.

01:21:50.997 --> 01:22:10.997

So in working with them over the years, we've narrowed down their outreach, their outcomes, I'm sorry, to approximately 3 to 4, which is more doable and lower the target number of youth on the caseload to now 20.

01:22:10.927 --> 01:22:25.927

I think the challenge for Don Moy, your boys and girls club is going to be. The stability of the staff keeping the staff on on on board.

01:22:23.368 --> 01:22:38.368

I'm a little concerned about their COO who is the director for the case manager. She's taken on part of that role.

01:22:35.622 --> 01:22:47.622

In addition to everything else that she has on her plate so I'm looking at things from Top down, down, up.

01:22:47.071 --> 01:22:58.071

I'm seeing. Just keep my eyes on it, cause One of the programs I used to facilitate run.

01:22:57.697 --> 01:23:06.697

Again, I love what I love the intention there. I'm just a little leery about can they

01:23:06.634 --> 01:23:16.634

Do an effective job of outreach, case management. Wrap around. Given what they have.

01:23:15.883 --> 01:23:28.883

Given the one person that's the coordinator or the case manager and then Miss Miles, being the COO of the program of Don Moyer

01:23:28.382 --> 01:23:38.382

Being able to manage that in addition to everything else that she has on her plate. So I'm just a little skeptical, you know.

01:23:37.753 --> 01:23:44.753

Thank you for pulling out. I kind of get the gist of what's going on and we're all biased.

01:23:45.256 --> 01:23:46.256

Okay.

01:23:46.323 --> 01:23:52.323

Right. I can appreciate both. You know, perspectives.

01:23:51.134 --> 01:23:56.134

I think You know, Dr. Lisa Liggins Chambers has a good point. I think.

01:23:55.012 --> 01:24:07.012

You know, I would leave it up to Don Moyer Boys and Girls Club to to make a decision of how they feel of what they

01:24:06.010 --> 01:24:13.010

Have planned for the program and if they want to take a two year contract. I honestly, I would offer it to them.

01:24:13.945 --> 01:24:17.945

And then they just leave it up to them, you know.

01:24:19.317 --> 01:24:27.317

If we were to offer a 2 year term and anything substantially changed with the program staffing or the way it was.

01:24:19.378 --> 01:24:21.378

Yeah.

01:24:26.068 --> 01:24:36.068

Being run, could we then adjust it next year to account for that? I guess this is the question too.

01:24:37.633 --> 01:24:39.633

Lynn and Leon.

01:24:40.953 --> 01:24:43.953

Adjusted and what what do you mean?

01:24:43.763 --> 01:24:57.763

So I mean, I guess with, any program if there's a staffing issue or there's been a long period of time where there's been a staffing shortage would we then adjust the awarded amount.

01:24:57.394 --> 01:25:09.394

That's a, I get it. I get your question. That's a great question. And that is built into all the contracts if they're underspent at As of June 30th if they're underspent.

01:25:09.011 --> 01:25:23.011

The money is returned to the mental health board, but they could continue at the same contract level. You know, that's it's sort of like a hopeful structure like to, you know, do it, try to do it.

01:25:22.031 --> 01:25:27.031

And if it doesn't work, give the money back. Is that, is that what you meant?

01:25:25.219 --> 01:25:35.219

I think so. I think partly thinking about like, you know, any program that we offer to a two year term to could have

01:25:34.212 --> 01:25:49.212

They have staffing shortages or programmatic fluctuations or instability and just knowing that a 2 year term doesn't necessarily.

01:25:48.396 --> 01:26:01.396

That, you know, there can be some. Ways to account for those changes even if we offer a 3 year term not just to see a change but to any program is.

01:26:03.651 --> 01:26:07.651

Something I just wanted all of our clarification on and to state.

01:26:05.648 --> 01:26:17.648

This is something, Leon and Chris Wilson, our financial manager just actually just did this last year with an agency that is has had some struggles staying fully funded.

01:26:14.778 --> 01:26:35.778

They just paid less. But they're not, it doesn't change the arrangement going forward. But the other programs to kind of piggy back off of this other programs have a lot of vacancies and staff turnover and they're having trouble hiring but we aren't.

01:26:35.333 --> 01:26:43.333

You know, talking about those things necessarily with the other programs, only this one and I don't think that's fair.

01:26:42.469 --> 01:26:51.469

And that's why I said, no, I think we should offer them 2 years well. You know, we're gonna look at the problems with staffing and things like that.

01:26:44.334 --> 01:26:58.334

With Don Moyer, that we need to talk about that for the other agencies as well. I think there was a little difference.

01:26:55.774 --> 01:27:05.774

There were, it was low level of engagement with kids, right? Like. They weren't really serving a lot of kids.

01:27:05.780 --> 01:27:18.780

Is that right? So part of the struggle was they spent more time doing community service events. Versus, serving.

01:27:18.532 --> 01:27:29.532

The youth. So. You know, and one of the reasons why they have higher numbers in community service events is to attract.

01:27:28.712 --> 01:27:37.712

More youth on the case load. But again, you you have to be very mindful and careful about doing something like that.

01:27:37.656 --> 01:27:49.656

Because you tend to over extend yourself. And now it just becomes about numbers and less about. The quality of the program.

01:27:50.217 --> 01:27:51.217

So.

01:27:52.091 --> 01:27:57.091

Sounds like a training issue. Need to be more training.

01:28:01.097 --> 01:28:04.097

Should I keep talking?

01:28:10.527 --> 01:28:12.527

I think you're absolutely right.

01:28:14.142 --> 01:28:16.142

Yeah.

01:28:15.779 --> 01:28:24.779

Seems like we have another quality improvement project. Let's do it. Well, yeah, because I think that program served what, 16 last year?

01:28:24.160 --> 01:28:34.160

I mean. Well, let me ask you another way, cause I'm new too. Conttingencies.

01:28:33.551 --> 01:28:47.551

You know, can we do funding? Based upon, you know, contingencies. For example, these things need to be in place before we issue another check.

01:28:48.864 --> 01:28:56.864

You know, is that a option? Because we can do that as well if it's a option if we can do it legally, I guess.

01:28:56.175 --> 01:29:06.175

Well, there's some, we've got some. Requirements prior to any contract being executed that are listed here.

01:29:05.867 --> 01:29:18.867

So we're doing, we're doing a version of that. I think what you're talking about is like a deeper Like maybe, more progressive approach, like perform pay for performance.

01:29:18.003 --> 01:29:28.003

And we do have, we have a contract, not a mental health board contract, but a developmental disabilities board contract that pays for performance.

01:29:26.936 --> 01:29:41.936

So we know how to do it. But it does it does take some time. It took us we had a room full of people with calculators trying to figure out like a an approach that would not harm the agency.

01:29:41.369 --> 01:29:54.369

But would literally be paying for meeting benchmarks. It was. A really fun project but I we haven't talked about doing that but we could I don't think that we could get it together really.

01:29:52.937 --> 01:30:00.937

For the kind of concerns that y'all have been talking about today. By the time we issue contracts.

01:30:02.696 --> 01:30:07.696

But we could. We could talk about it next time. Yes, ma'am.

01:30:11.634 --> 01:30:19.634

We don't really want to be punitive about it. We just wanted Say these are the benchmarks to meet and if you don't meet them

01:30:20.330 --> 01:30:23.330

Then. We have a conversation about it.

01:30:22.818 --> 01:30:32.818

The for the contract that I'm talking about what we are what we're consecrating is Not, it's not a level of service or a number of people served.

01:30:31.771 --> 01:30:42.771

It's where the services are performed and what kind of activities. The people are engaging in because for people with developmental disabilities

01:30:42.454 --> 01:30:52.454

Just having someone around is often all the system pays for. So we were asking for a higher quality of interaction.

01:30:52.570 --> 01:31:00.570

So. In an example like this one, that would make sense. Something like that. Like what are you doing with the kids?

01:31:05.944 --> 01:31:15.944

With that, any other questions, comments? We'll move on to the other Don Moyer Boys and Girls Club Community Coalition Summer Youth Initiatives.

01:31:15.885 --> 01:31:23.885

For 107,000. The request was, which would be a hundred percent of the total program revenue.

01:31:23.698 --> 01:31:31.698

This one. Staff recommended funding \$90,000, which is lower than

01:31:30.884 --> 01:31:41.884

Requested obviously. See previous year was 90,000 so it's no change from the previous year.

01:31:43.019 --> 01:31:50.019

Per funding. And they did recommend offering a 2 year, term for this one.

01:31:50.507 --> 01:32:05.507

I think there's so there's a note in here this is something I was talking with one board member about earlier that other taxing districts which have the option to raise taxes to cover

01:32:01.381 --> 01:32:12.381

Activities that they Desire probably shouldn't apply for MHB

01:32:12.067 --> 01:32:25.067

But. And this is, so this is not directly what's going on, but some of the programs that are that are staged over the summer through this contract.

01:32:12.255 --> 01:32:17.255

My phone. Where? Oh.

01:32:24.756 --> 01:32:53.756

Do involve special taxing districts who can who could fund them themselves. So there is like a little bit of an opportunity here just to pay a little bit less and say like focus on the grassroots organizations and the churches and the one-man shows that bring like really rich educational opportunities to kids over the summer and less focus on the U of I and the Park district and Urbana schools who could they could just contribute the programs to

01:32:54.009 --> 01:33:03.009

the to this project without. Being paid by us for it. Like it's just we're a special taxing district.

01:33:01.825 --> 01:33:06.825

We shouldn't be funding other special taxing districts so that they don't have to look bad

01:33:06.816 --> 01:33:15.816

To the public asking for more money. That was, that was the rationale for saying they could probably do it for 90,000.

01:33:14.379 --> 01:33:21.379

This is such a great program. That it would be really great to do it for the whole 107,000.

01:33:18.134 --> 01:33:24.134

So I was just looking for reasons but

01:33:28.014 --> 01:33:43.014

Any questions? I will move on to. 1st followers on the reentry house it's about 54% of total program funding which is 69,500 staff recommended.

01:33:42.440 --> 01:33:51.440

58,500 it's an increase over the current level but not the full request they recommend offering.

01:33:52.003 --> 01:34:00.003

A 2 year term. So obviously it's about \$9,000 less than the requested amount.

01:33:59.450 --> 01:34:09.450

That would really love to fund the full amount. It wasn't increased last year was 39,500.

01:34:07.506 --> 01:34:18.506

So it is still. \$19,000 more than the previous year. So the proposed increase was 76%.

01:34:19.696 --> 01:34:21.696

Increase.

01:34:22.700 --> 01:34:29.700

I would add, and I love 1st followers, you know, I So I had lots of folks to 1st followers.

01:34:29.260 --> 01:34:42.260

This organization did also receive a significant amount of ARPA money from about the county board. Which is not to say again that they can't use all the money.

01:34:37.132 --> 01:34:48.132

But I don't want us to feel like we're the only entity funding. But this organization.

01:34:47.440 --> 01:34:54.440

And actually can they use all the money? Because it appears they don't spend all the money. Right.

01:34:52.723 --> 01:35:01.723

You said it Chris. I did not. Gotcha. And one thing I want to point out.

01:34:52.769 --> 01:34:57.769

Yeah. Does I really be honest? I love them, but hey, I'm just being realistic.

01:35:00.523 --> 01:35:08.523

Oh, sorry. I want to point out how it does stay under the special provisions that they need to collaborate with Rosecrance.

01:35:07.774 --> 01:35:17.774

Does Rose Kranz have the special provision that they have to collaborate with 1st followers? Yeah, this is for the sake of that reentry project.

01:35:16.666 --> 01:35:37.666

If the like and I love the conversation we were having earlier about shifting our thinking on that but the current Reentry project that's hosted by that county and partially funded by you and partially funded by the county really does need both of those organizations to be at the table and we had a very nice long meeting.

01:35:38.928 --> 01:35:46.928

With actually with each. You weren't at the one but you were at the other. 1st followers is very willing to do this.

01:35:47.618 --> 01:35:59.618

It looks like the special provision about participating in the reentry council is there for the Rose Cancer Pro and the 1st followers program but the specific.

01:35:59.799 --> 01:36:09.799

Collaboration with 1st followers is not listed under the Rose Cross one so I think I would be in favor of adding that.

01:36:09.492 --> 01:36:18.492

You know, that's maybe that's an oversight here. I thought that is listed in our Let me see criminal justice.

01:36:17.426 --> 01:36:32.426

And it's just a minor thing, but like I said, I really Okay, it says collaborate with providers of similar services and in the full special provision it says particularly 1st followers and women in need recovery.

01:36:31.494 --> 01:36:37.494

So I like, I think that's right. We've just been working on the language.

01:36:37.365 --> 01:36:49.365

So I don't know if you can see the page. The criminal justice PSC contract is the one most closely related to the reentry council and the reentry work.

01:36:48.746 --> 01:36:56.746

And all we've got here is collaborate with providers of similar services. A lot of stone law rosecrance.

01:36:55.306 --> 01:37:02.306

The same thing they're pulling with the clientele they're bringing in. So what's gonna do?

01:36:58.116 --> 01:37:10.116

I mean, interagency collaboration is part of what we ask all the agencies to do. And, if they're not doing it, I don't know.

01:37:10.176 --> 01:37:16.176

Well, while you talk, I'll confirm because Leon and I've been working on those.

01:37:15.560 --> 01:37:26.560

Gotcha. One thing that I will say, that's very hopeful about the work that the reentry council is doing is, under new management.

01:37:26.684 --> 01:37:36.684

They are coming to the board with like hard numbers on a regular basis. It's not just this kind of like nebulous like, we helped a lot of people.

01:37:35.441 --> 01:37:55.441

So they're coming with. Like great hard numbers of the people they served, of the demographics they served, you can actually find them under our justice and social services committee on the county board website.

01:37:53.934 --> 01:38:02.934

You know, as a service provider in town. Like I was excited to see those numbers and just see that.

01:38:02.490 --> 01:38:10.490

That work quantified in a really. Tangible way. And I would, I would just recommend anyone who's interested to check it out.

01:38:06.622 --> 01:38:14.622

I'm very excited about it.

01:38:14.299 --> 01:38:23.299

I was wrong about including women in need recovery there. It's just other providers of similar services, but it does.

01:38:24.180 --> 01:38:33.180

Thank you, specific to 1st followers. How late are we going this evening? So I need to go roughly 7 30 and we have 13 left.

01:38:34.248 --> 01:38:38.248

We can stop at 7 30.

01:38:37.864 --> 01:38:57.864

Oh, I did have a question about the specific request from 1st followers. So I know, 1st followers and women in need recovery are both requesting, a large expansion related to reentry home for developing a reentry home for women.

01:38:57.246 --> 01:39:06.246

And I was curious regarding the recommendation, because 1st followers is getting a larger percentage of their request.

01:39:06.242 --> 01:39:19.242

And also a larger amount of the expansion. Dollars for expansion then women in need recovery. I was curious about the rationale for.

01:39:17.369 --> 01:39:24.369

1st of all, I was getting this larger amount that they're requesting an order percentage.

01:39:25.801 --> 01:39:28.801

Not a

01:39:25.997 --> 01:39:30.997

That they're requesting from kind of the stuff. Perspective.

01:39:29.681 --> 01:39:40.681

It's the same amount, right? The percentage is different. But it's dollar wise. Well, the rationale was simply that

01:39:38.989 --> 01:39:51.989

This was. We know that this is not Not fully funding those 2 applications doesn't set either one of them in a position to do that expansion.

01:39:51.743 --> 01:40:01.743

But neither has had an increase in a long time. So it was just taking the same amount of dollars and putting them into each agency.

01:40:03.049 --> 01:40:07.049

If you want the same percentage, we can certainly do that.

01:40:06.175 --> 01:40:14.175

I would probably have more to say about that where we get to the women in recovery one, but women in need recovery is taking

01:40:13.239 --> 01:40:29.239

I guess. The largest amount of shortfall that we have between the requested amounts from agencies and the amount that we have.

01:40:28.879 --> 01:40:34.879

It's sort of being absorbed by women in need recovery, and I did want to point attention to that.

01:40:30.250 --> 01:40:48.250

Especially as they're a women of color run organization wanting to expand their work for women in the community.

01:40:55.371 --> 01:41:02.371

I didn't know if any other board members had anything they wanted to add. On that.

01:41:00.056 --> 01:41:10.056

I think that for me the rationale has to do with women in need recovery is not a local agency and they have - their local presence has been.

01:41:09.490 --> 01:41:15.490

It's kind of up and down, including they didn't have a local board member for a while, so.

01:41:14.376 --> 01:41:25.376

Like this would this this organization grew here. It started here and it's very important to this community, but it doesn't feel connected here right now.

01:41:26.560 --> 01:41:28.560

I don't know.

01:41:30.684 --> 01:41:47.684

I don't know if this is even pertinent to the funding, but What are the issues I have when I was looking over then is that the director and I worked with WIN so I'm biased and positive is and it pays themselves \$150,000 which is quite a bit more than both founders and executive directors of 1st followers.

01:41:47.550 --> 01:42:02.550

What is say that? But we'll be working with them. Honestly, that that, let's say I have a problem with the executive record making that kind of money.

01:41:47.682 --> 01:41:54.682

I question that a lot of other stuff I don't wanna bring into the meeting. If there was a vote, I would recuse myself on the vote.

01:42:00.990 --> 01:42:08.990

Their focus is definitely on Chicago, not in champaign and from actual anecdotal observations while working with them.

01:42:08.622 --> 01:42:13.622

I don't know that their numbers except actually jive, but I can't accuse them of anything.

01:42:08.808 --> 01:42:20.808

Yeah, we'll just leave it at that. I hate talking in code, but again, this isn't a courtroom.

01:42:13.802 --> 01:42:26.802

You know, I can't bring in witnesses, etc, etc. Yeah, I don't know if I should have said any of that, but I did.

01:42:25.936 --> 01:42:32.936

And I'm not, so I'm 100 sure sure on my accuracy, but I think that the.

01:42:34.302 --> 01:42:41.302

\$150,000 salary you're referring to is for just running the champaign location that does not cover the Chicago

01:42:44.180 --> 01:42:53.180

Yeah, okay. Okay, so I can research that one, but I think that was something I had questioned the one night. I think that was something I had questioned the one night.

01:42:52.863 --> 01:42:53.863

I'm like.

01:43:00.808 --> 01:43:07.808

I don't think they're planning was all for salary. I think they'll have other stuff.

01:43:07.430 --> 01:43:18.430

Okay. So for 1st followers though. I don't have any problem with the funding recommendation by staff.

01:43:12.997 --> 01:43:33.997

Is there any questions about that one? Okay, we can move on to the peer mentoring for reentry, which is \$95,000, 46% of total program revenue and they requested a recommended, recommended funding as requested and offering a 2 year term.

01:43:37.058 --> 01:43:42.058

And I think that is the same as last year. Yeah. So there's no change in that.

01:43:41.804 --> 01:43:49.804

So I think there's no problem with that. Gcap is requesting 61,500 which is less

01:43:49.994 --> 01:44:00.994

Than what they initially had, requested because of you know, after looking at they came back to us and said they actually don't need as much as they initially had requested.

01:43:54.052 --> 01:44:10.052

And then staff recommended funding for that 61,500 and offering a 1 year term. Okay, go ahead, Lynn.

01:44:08.688 --> 01:44:15.688

So we usually don't offer a two-year term to a brand new organization, but given the conversation so far.

01:44:15.874 --> 01:44:21.874

Do you? Should I change that for this one and offer a two-year term?

01:44:21.426 --> 01:44:29.426

So one thing, with Gcap been around the community for the last going on 40 years.

01:44:28.622 --> 01:44:37.622

We, I mean, many of us know their work already. So. I mean, a 2 year term or 2 year contracts.

01:44:38.050 --> 01:44:46.050

At least from my perspective would be fine because we know what they have done over the last almost 40 years.

01:44:46.428 --> 01:44:52.428

Sounds good. Let's do, we can offer a 2 year term. Next one will be grow.

01:44:51.555 --> 01:45:00.555

Offering, requesting \$159,000. And we recommended funding \$2,000 less and offering a 2 year term as well.

01:44:59.799 --> 01:45:06.799

I think this is a great program. I'm glad to see how they've grown over the year over the years.

01:45:06.440 --> 01:45:15.440

And how they've gotten better with getting all their their papers in order with us with applications and everything.

01:45:11.307 --> 01:45:22.307

So I have no issues with that. Let me see how much it was. So it is an increase about 30,000 from.

01:45:22.867 --> 01:45:27.867  
The year before minus 2, so 20,000 more.

01:45:28.621 --> 01:45:38.621  
That is a pretty big increase. They had been at the same level for 2 years, but that was that had been a large increase over the year before.

01:45:39.243 --> 01:45:40.243  
Okay.

01:45:39.630 --> 01:45:50.630  
And we got from a 2 year term. It's not gonna change for 2 years. Let's see, 1, 29.

01:45:50.693 --> 01:45:52.693  
So it's about a 21% increase.

01:45:54.132 --> 01:45:56.132  
Oh, Molly.

01:45:58.697 --> 01:46:00.697  
Sorry, what can you?

01:45:59.756 --> 01:46:01.756  
I did not. I did not say anything.

01:46:04.069 --> 01:46:17.069  
Alright, the next one is the Rosecrance Benefits case management. Or 84,000, that's 99% of total program revenue.

01:46:16.191 --> 01:46:24.191  
The recommendation is funded as requested and offer a 2 year term. So Leon and I did some math.

01:46:23.695 --> 01:46:37.695  
He did math. I looked at current year levels. We got slightly different amounts. If we kept all of these contracts at current year levels, then except for crisis co response team because it's adding a piece.

01:46:37.509 --> 01:46:45.509  
A a piece that has been federally funded. There would be 30,000 about

01:46:43.879 --> 01:46:54.879  
30,300. And I also adjusted, you know, cause I went over by \$60. So, 30,300 that you could redistribute to other contracts.

01:46:55.767 --> 01:46:57.767  
So, something to think about.

01:46:58.567 --> 01:47:14.567  
All right. So this one was a 5% increase. The Rosecrance criminal justice PSC was 336,000 73% of total program revenue, the recommendation was a fund as requested and offer a 2 year term.

01:47:16.315 --> 01:47:26.315

This one was also a 5% increase. Alright, wait, correct? Which 1? 0, I think I skipped one.

01:47:27.256 --> 01:47:29.256

Oh.

01:47:27.952 --> 01:47:30.952

You skip the child and family services, I think.

01:47:30.067 --> 01:47:40.067

So sorry, the child and family services was 77,038% of total program revenue. And they recommended funding at the PY.

01:47:34.445 --> 01:47:46.445

24 level which is 73,500 and offering a 2 year term. And I mean, we had already talked about why.

01:47:45.580 --> 01:47:53.580

The concerns we had about this one. Extensively so I don't think there's any more to say about that one.

01:47:52.067 --> 01:48:08.067

And then the PSC. As 336,000 which is a 5% increase from the previous year 73% of total program revenue again staff recommended funding fully for funding for a two-year term.

01:48:07.638 --> 01:48:15.638

And then, and funny, for our 2 year term and then the next one. Sorry, is there any questions that people have about these?

01:48:14.504 --> 01:48:21.504

I'm just trying to run through them. Stop me if there's anything you guys want to talk about.

01:48:20.445 --> 01:48:30.445

I'm just going to go through them. And then Rosecrance Crisis Co response team and Molly you can just unmute yourself if you have any questions or comments about any of these.

01:48:29.441 --> 01:48:39.441

So Rosecrance Crisis Co response team and diversion center for 410,000, 70% of total program revenue.

01:48:38.512 --> 01:48:53.512

Recommendation was the fund as requested and offer a 2 year term. I didn't have any concerns about this one either other than what we've already talked about the last meeting and this one And then the next one is the Rosecrance Recovery Home for a 100,000.

01:48:52.264 --> 01:49:01.264

The staff recommendation is to fund as requested an offer to your term and again it's 19% of total program revenue.

01:49:00.379 --> 01:49:08.379

You know, again, the thing that we always talked about is I'm hoping. You know, there will be.

01:49:07.259 --> 01:49:14.259

Presentation maybe by Rosecrans in the next year of how they are reaching out to minority communities.

01:49:13.448 --> 01:49:25.448

I think that would be nice to have plan that they set in place in the next year and present to us so we can have maybe a quality improvement project on what they're doing for that outreach.

01:49:24.506 --> 01:49:35.506

And then the next one is Rose Kranz for specialty courts for 186, almost 187,000.

01:49:34.253 --> 01:49:42.253

Percent of program revenue. The recommendation was to fund a hundred 78,000, so about \$9,000 less.

01:49:42.068 --> 01:49:54.068

And then I guess we're doing a 1 year term on this one, right? There's a there's other reasons.

01:49:51.503 --> 01:50:00.503

Possible other funding for this through the county. Fingers crossed. Federal.

01:50:00.955 --> 01:50:09.955

And then the next one is the terror PIN, recovery home, which I love for 90, almost \$91,000, 87% of total program revenue.

01:50:09.385 --> 01:50:19.385

Staff recommended funding at 80, almost 89,000, which slower than the requested but higher than the current year in offering a two-year term.

01:50:18.387 --> 01:50:31.387

And I think they've done a great job with this program. And we had the the program director talked last meeting and Yeah, I just, I love it.

01:50:30.441 --> 01:50:38.441

So. I don't have any questions. And no one has - we'll go on to the UP, the UP Center.

01:50:37.576 --> 01:50:45.576

A child youth and family program. 62% of total program revenue at 190,000.

01:50:43.129 --> 01:50:52.129

The recommendation was to fund at the current level and offer a 2 year term. And I would like to see more outreach from this

01:50:51.505 --> 01:51:04.505

Agency as well. That would be nice to see. Them also maybe have a plan for. A minority outreach in the next year.

01:51:04.320 --> 01:51:10.320

If we can maybe have a to do list for some of these agencies, I think those are the 2 I definitely want

01:51:09.382 --> 01:51:20.382

To see an outreach plan and then WIN recover. Community support reentry houses for 244,000.

01:51:19.137 --> 01:51:30.137

16% of total program revenue, the recommendation was to fund for 129,000 again lower than requested but higher than the previous year and offering a two-year term.

01:51:30.954 --> 01:51:38.954

Again, it's about 6, again, 16% of the program revenue.

01:51:41.005 --> 01:51:56.005

The previous year was 100 and 10 thank you Yeah, 110,000, yeah. So still about 19,000 increase just like, the 1st followers as well.

01:51:56.131 --> 01:52:08.131

And then offering a 2 year term as well, which they, you know, can always refuse and then we can always re-address the next year if, funding and level, at funding change and things change.

01:52:06.566 --> 01:52:17.566

So. And I guess the last one is the IDDSI. Or 232,000 It's not the very bottom.

01:52:17.752 --> 01:52:22.752

I'm blind. I can't see. Like.

01:52:22.505 --> 01:52:25.505

Unless another page

01:52:27.507 --> 01:52:35.507

Open the booklet here. I know it's on here since we're funding 200. You're a special initiative fund.

01:52:35.024 --> 01:52:40.024

Oh, thank you. Thank you. The bottom of the, 50, 51, yeah.

01:52:36.526 --> 01:52:48.526

So, the CCRPC, community life support, short term assistance. For 232,000.

01:52:47.280 --> 01:52:58.280

Which is a hundred percent of total program revenue. Again, this is a new contract. And the recommendation is to fund through the IDD

01:52:57.718 --> 01:53:05.718

Special initiatives fund at the level requested and offer 2 year term which I agree with. Any questions?

01:53:04.398 --> 01:53:15.398

Any comments? Thanks suggestions for changes to Well, I think it's a pretty good plan. I mean, obviously we can always make changes, but I think I like

01:53:16.584 --> 01:53:23.584

The recommendations for, you know, unless people want to. You know.

01:53:23.590 --> 01:53:32.590

Allocate some money from the rosecrance funding to other agencies that's always something we can discuss.

01:53:34.712 --> 01:53:37.712

We have next meeting to discuss that too, but something to think about.

01:53:37.715 --> 01:53:47.715

Yeah, next week. Yeah. So next week is. If you can like you this board can do whatever you want

01:53:47.836 --> 01:54:02.836

But if you can decide about most or all of the funding requests, then we can start the contract process, which tends to take a while, especially because we've got conditions prior to contracting and then conditions that are specific

01:54:03.168 --> 01:54:08.168

To each contract and we're a small team and need to review all that stuff as it comes in.

01:54:07.847 --> 01:54:15.847

So that's ideal but if you don't get finished making all the decisions, you could have a special meeting subsequent to that.

01:54:15.354 --> 01:54:25.354

I have asked this very day if there's an expectation of more funding for us and the answer was maybe but then there's also a threat of money being taken away.

01:54:24.474 --> 01:54:35.474

So I don't think I want to create a second. Like a, you know, here are some that you could fully fund later if there's more money.

01:54:32.039 --> 01:54:44.039

Because I think the chances of getting more money are good and then having it taken away are also good. So

01:54:45.411 --> 01:54:57.411

I did have a question, sorry. Professional question asker here. I just wanted to go to the Rosecrance crisis co response team.

01:54:46.224 --> 01:54:48.224  
Alright, with that

01:54:56.731 --> 01:55:20.731  
Application. I wanted to say a couple of things and then ask a question. So, I know that this request is large, and, Honestly, I would like to have seen the city of Urbana

01:55:20.485 --> 01:55:29.485  
Steo up to cover Some of this, instead of expecting the mental health board to do it all.

01:55:28.223 --> 01:55:36.223  
I just wanted to see that. But I think this is a really important preventative program.

01:55:35.790 --> 01:55:51.790  
I wanted to hear a little bit more from Lynn about the condition regarding meeting the representatives from the police departments meeting with the board.

01:55:51.226 --> 01:56:00.226  
If you would just explain that a little more in the rationale because I think it's really important.

01:55:58.598 --> 01:56:11.598  
That's in a couple of contracts, right? Like we have. So. There are 3 contracts that involve other county departments and then also other units of government.

01:56:11.300 --> 01:56:23.300  
And everybody needs to get out of here, but it is - Criminal Justice PSC. I think we have a good collaborative relationship already.

01:56:22.538 --> 01:56:34.538  
Drug court we're really trying like they want to, we want to, it's just those pieces aren't really together, but this one, there's been no communication at all.

01:56:33.788 --> 01:56:40.788  
I don't even know if Urbana police want this program. I don't know if the city of Urbana wants this program.

01:56:39.097 --> 01:56:59.097  
No one has said they want this program. All we know is that the federal money is running out. And the agency applied for, I think they applied for an alternative or an extension to it, but the fact that Urbana doesn't even talk to us about this is really like I think like we know it's important.

01:56:58.223 --> 01:57:09.223  
I know because I meet with you know we meet with the CIT steering committee group and we hear about all the people in crisis and showing up at hotspots in Urbana.

01:57:08.295 --> 01:57:18.295

So the program is doing what it's supposed to do. But I, feel like it shouldn't be on the agency to tell us that.

01:57:16.974 --> 01:57:24.974

I feel that the other units of government should come and talk to you about whether they like it, what they're getting out of it, what could be better.

01:57:17.854 --> 01:57:29.854

I don't even want to be the go-between. I think they should come here and talk to you.

01:57:27.605 --> 01:57:35.605

If there's really no money in their budgets to support any part of that. That's fine.

01:57:34.853 --> 01:57:41.853

It's the program is doing what it's supposed to. So, it, but I think, have some money.

01:57:41.288 --> 01:57:50.288

And I have to keep going and meeting with their consultant about what they should do other than police and you know so this is a great example.

01:57:47.473 --> 01:57:55.473

Meanwhile they're asking for more police. So this is, I find.

01:57:56.978 --> 01:58:00.978

Find what you're saying, like very, very frustrating.

01:58:00.793 --> 01:58:10.793

Yeah, I was thinking the same thing. If they're asking for more at the city of Urbana is asking for more police, they could give money to this program.

01:58:09.911 --> 01:58:21.911

I'm not quite understanding the logic there and I think, the idea of having the representatives from the departments.

01:58:21.918 --> 01:58:29.918

Come to talk to the board about how the program is going and if they want this program. Is important.

01:58:27.353 --> 01:58:44.353

I think we need investment for more, more, parts of our government besides the mental health board for a program like this because it you know, meets so much more.

01:58:43.110 --> 01:58:52.110

Then I think it We're buying on the mental health board to probably take care of this is

01:58:51.099 --> 01:58:58.099

Not the direction we should be going and it seems like that's the direction that we're going in even more now.

01:59:00.056 --> 01:59:04.056

Sorry, I just wanted to make sure that we had a little space to discuss that.

01:59:03.917 --> 01:59:11.917

Yeah, I think that was good. Thank you. Thank you, Molly. You know, I think it's definitely something for us to consider, you know.

01:59:11.472 --> 01:59:24.472

Talking with -- hopefully in reach with there, and at least the fact we shouldn't have to reach out to them to get these things but like if we're going to fund them, I do want to hear from these people.

01:59:23.847 --> 01:59:32.847

You know, like I guess shouldn't have to. It should, I mean. Again. I'm glad the agency is

01:59:34.487 --> 01:59:36.487

You know, applying and doing what it's supposed to do.

01:59:36.100 --> 01:59:54.100

It's sad to see that everyone is doing what they're supposed to do. But at the end of the day you know a lot of these At the end of the day, the people who are hurt by not funding these things are the people in the community and not the people in power.

01:59:53.729 --> 02:00:05.729

And so yeah, I, I, agree. I think. Maybe we need a the people in power their feet to the fire a little bit

02:00:05.229 --> 02:00:12.229

To get them to do what they're supposed to do. So I don't think that this is the agency's problem.

02:00:07.416 --> 02:00:20.416

This is something I need to do. Like it'd be a dream come true to have intergovernmental agreements, but that I feel like that's a North Star.

02:00:19.534 --> 02:00:30.534

With that, I will move to agency input. If there's any agencies here, that would like to participate.

02:00:25.485 --> 02:00:33.485

And now is the time. We'll leave it to 5 min per age and see our part-participant.

02:00:35.483 --> 02:00:37.483

And agencies in the online.

02:00:37.292 --> 02:00:46.292

Alright, seeing none, I'll move on to board announcements and input anything. No, with that I will move to adjourn.

02:00:45.604 --> 02:00:48.604  
See everybody next week.