	I (T N (, , , , , , N , Y -	ZONING BOARD OF APPEA	ALS
	Washington Str		
Urbana, II			
DATE: TIME:	May 30, 202 6:30 p.m.	4 PLACE:	Shields-Carter Meeting Room 1776 East Washington Street Urbana, IL 61802
	S PRESENT:	Brian Andersen Chris Flesner	, Lee Roberts, Cindy Cunningham
		Brian / Macroent, Chiris I Tesher	, Lee Roberts, emery etiminghum
MEMBER	S ABSENT:	Thaddeus Bates, Ryan Elwell,	Jim Randol
STAFF PR	RESENT:	John Hall, Charlie Campo, Jac	cob Hagman
OTHERS	PRESENT:	Rebecca Kesler, Frank LeFeve	er, Rita Armes
1. Cal	l to Order		
The meetin	g was called to o	rder at 6:34 p.m.	
2. Rol	l Call and Decla	ration of Quorum	
The sell see			
The roll wa	s called, and a qu	uorum was declared present.	
Mr. Hall sa	id that an interin	-	
Mr. Hall sa Cunningha Ms. Cunnin	id that an interin m, seconded by N	n chair was needed due to Mr. E Mr. Andersen. The motion was ca	arried by voice vote.
Mr. Hall sa Cunningha Ms. Cunnin sign the Wi	id that an interin m, seconded by M ngham informed t tness Register.	n chair was needed due to Mr. E Mr. Andersen. The motion was ca	arried by voice vote.
Mr. Hall sa Cunninghan Ms. Cunnin sign the Wi 3. App Mr. Flesne	id that an interin m, seconded by M ngham informed t tness Register.	n chair was needed due to Mr. E Mr. Andersen. The motion was ca the audience that anyone wishing es – March 28, 2024 tion to approve the March 28,	arried by voice vote.
Mr. Hall sa Cunninghai Ms. Cunnin sign the Wi 3. App Mr. Flesne The motion	id that an interin m, seconded by M ngham informed t tness Register. Droval of Minut er made the mot	n chair was needed due to Mr. E Mr. Andersen. The motion was ca the audience that anyone wishing es – March 28, 2024 tion to approve the March 28, ce vote.	arried by voice vote.
Mr. Hall sa Cunninghan Ms. Cunnin sign the Wi 3. App Mr. Flesne The motion 4. Cor	id that an interin m, seconded by M agham informed to tness Register. Droval of Minute er made the mot n carried by voi trespondence – 1	n chair was needed due to Mr. E Mr. Andersen. The motion was ca the audience that anyone wishing es – March 28, 2024 tion to approve the March 28, ce vote.	arried by voice vote. to testify for any public hearing tonight: 2024, minutes, seconded by Mr. Rob
Mr. Hall sa Cunninghan Ms. Cunnin sign the Wi 3. App Mr. Flesne The motion 4. Cor 5. Auc	id that an interin m, seconded by M agham informed to tness Register. Droval of Minute er made the mot n carried by voi trespondence – 1	n chair was needed due to Mr. E Mr. Andersen. The motion was ca the audience that anyone wishing es – March 28, 2024 tion to approve the March 28, ce vote. None tion concerning matters other t	Elwell's absence. Mr. Flesner nominated arried by voice vote. to testify for any public hearing tonight n 2024, minutes, seconded by Mr. Rob than cases pending before the Board -N
Mr. Hall sa Cunninghan Ms. Cunnin sign the Wi 3. App Mr. Flesne The motion 4. Cor 5. Auc	id that an interin m, seconded by M agham informed to tness Register. Droval of Minute er made the mot n carried by voi crespondence – I lience participa ntinued Public H	n chair was needed due to Mr. E Mr. Andersen. The motion was ca the audience that anyone wishing es – March 28, 2024 tion to approve the March 28, ce vote. None tion concerning matters other to Iearings	arried by voice vote. to testify for any public hearing tonight 2024, minutes, seconded by Mr. Rob

1 2 3 4	Location:	A 1.81-acre tract in the Northeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 15, Township 20 North Range 1 East of the Third Principal Meridian in Mahomet Township with an address of 503 South Lake of the Woods Rd, Mahomet.		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	done for the l case to wait u said that he l septic tank w	I that Mr. Parkhill isn't present at the meeting. Mr. Campo said that a continuance could be nearing after the other cases. Mr. Hall said it would be a shame for a possible witness for the until the end of the meeting and encouraged the Board to take action on the case. Mr. Flesner has questions about Mr. Parkhill's case because of outstanding questions about where the ras located and how it would be utilized and that information is missing from the packets of for the board. Mr. Flesner expressed pity on the possible witness for being present while Mr. n't.		
12				
13	Ms. Cunningham said this would be the second meeting where the Board had met, and Mr. Parkhill was			
14	not present. Mr. Hall and Mr. Campo confirmed that Mr. Parkhill emailed to ask for a continuance, but he			
15	didn't provide a date that would work for him.			
16				
17	Mr. Flesner motioned for case 129-AM-24 to be continued until July 11 th , 2024, and seconded by			
18	Mr. Roberts	. The motion was approved by voice vote.		
19 20	Ma Hall and	in dad the beaud that they did manive a mana from Ma Keeler meterting the emmerced of acco		
20 21	129-AM-24.	inded the board that they did receive a memo from Ms. Kesler protesting the approval of case		
22	129-Alvi-24.			
23	7. New]	Public Hearings		
24		r ubite ricarings		
25	Cases 137-V	-74		
26	Petitioner:	Gordon Gilly		
27				
28	Requests:	Authorize a variance for a lot with an access strip width of 10 ft. in lieu of the required		
29	1	20 ft., in the R-1 Single Family Residence Zoning District, per Section 4.3.4 F. of the		
30		Champaign County Zoning Ordinance.		
31				
32	Location:	Lot 3 of Mitchell Subdivision, Section 10, Township 19 North, Range 10 East of the		
33		Third Principal Meridian in St. Joseph Township, with an address of 1651 CR 2200E,		
34		St. Joseph.		
35				
36	Mr. Hall told the board that Mr. Gilly's property has been annexed by the Village of Saint Joseph, so the			
37	village now handles all zoning and subdivision issues. Mr. Hall said that the Board is able to dismiss this			
38	cause with no	o prejudice.		
39				
40	Mr. Andersen motioned to dismiss case 137-V-24 without prejudice, seconded by Mr. Flesner. The			
41	motion was	passed by voice vote. Case 137-V-24 has been dismissed without prejudice.		
42	Cases 120 V	24		
43 44	Cases 138-V	<u>-24</u> Frank LeFever & Rita Armes		
44 45	Petitioner:	FTAIK LEFEVET & NITA ATHIES		
45 46	Requests:	Authorize a variance for a proposed 9.56-acre lot in lieu of the maximum allowed 3		
47	requests.	acres in area for a lot with soils that are best prime farmland in the AG-1 Agriculture		
48		Zoning District, per Section 5.3 of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance.		
49		Zoning District, per Section 5.8 of the Champaign County Zoning Orumanee.		

Location: A proposed 9.56-acre tract in the Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter and the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 33, Township 22 North, Range 14 West of the Second Principal Meridian in Kerr Township, with an address of 2749 CR 3075 N, Penfield.

5
6 Ms. Cunningham informed the audience that anyone wishing to testify in this case must sign the witness
7 register. She reminded the audience that when they sign the witness register, they are signing an oath.

9 Ms. Cunningham informed the audience that these cases are administrative cases, and as such, the county 10 allows anyone the opportunity to cross-examine any witness. She said that at the proper time, she would 11 ask for a show of hands from those who would like to cross-examine, and each person would be called 12 upon. She said that those who merely cross-examine are not required to sign the witness register but will 13 be asked to clearly state their name before asking any questions. She noted that no new testimony was to 14 be given during the cross-examination. She said that attorneys who have complied with article 7.6 of the 15 ZBA by-laws are exempt from cross-examination.

16

17 Mr. Frank LeFever of 1284 Sebring Ct, Elgin, Illinois, and Ms. Rita Armes of 30608 Cedar St Box 51 18 Unit #2, Armstrong, Illinois approached the microphone. Mr. LeFever said that he and Ms. Armes are 19 brother and sister. Mr. LeFever said that they come before the Board tonight to ask that their variance be 20 granted. Mr. Lefever continued that he and his sister are likely starting the process of selling their farm 21 because they are no longer able to be farmers due to their age. Mr. LeFever said they are trying to keep 22 the surrounding buildings, their orchard, as well as their well pump together. Mr. LeFever said their 23 orchard consists of 4 apple trees and 2 cherry trees from the original orchard started by their grandparents. 24 Mr. LeFever provided a brief history of the property stating that the farmhouse was built in 1896 and still 25 stands today, which makes it 128 years old. Mr. LeFever continued the history by sharing that their father 26 and uncle served in the armed forces for many years, and another uncle was deputy sheriff for Champaign 27 County for between 25 and 30 years. Mr. LeFever added that their mother purchased a small herd of sheep 28 to help keep the field in the outer portion of the property at a reasonable height because she wasn't able 29 to keep up with the yard maintenance, both physically and financially, as she got older.

30

Mr. LeFever mentioned that the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign has an agriculture class come out to the property every spring to attend to the sheep. The students trim the hoves and deworm and address any health issues that the sheep may have. Ms. Armes added that this experience with the University has been happening for the past four years, and Ms. Armes is glad to see students come out to the property and get that experience.

36

37 Mr. LeFever referenced Ms. Armes and said that while they are both no longer farmers, the goal of doing 38 the variance is to preserve their history and heritage. Mr. LeFever continued that the well pump was 39 located in one of the original chicken houses, and similar well pumps were located in several of the 40 previous outbuildings on the property so their grandfather wouldn't need to carry water to the livestock. At this time, Ms. Armes walked around with a board featuring pictures of the farm and showed those 41 pictures to those who were present at the meeting. Mr. LeFever said they currently have sixteen head of 42 sheep that help keep the grass on the outlots down. Mr. LeFever referenced a building on the property that 43 44 still has the original well pump located within its walls.

45

Mr. LeFever reiterated that the goal is to keep the orchard and outbuildings on the same lot so they can
remain in the family if and when the rest of the land they own is sold. Mr. LeFever also addressed the
small house on the property and stated that no permit was ever received for the structure because they

built the structure when their parents were taking care of their grandparents when they got elderly because 1 2 it was the best option for them at the time. 3 4 Mr. Flesner had a question for Mr. LeFever. Mr. Flesner asked if both houses on the property have separate 5 wells and septic. Mr. LeFever confirmed that to be true. 6 7 Mr. Hall asked if they planned to sell the lot if the Board approved the variance. Mr. LeFever said they 8 have no plans to sell the lot and they want to keep it in their family. Mr. LeFever said that his son lives in 9 one house and his nephew lives in the other house. Mr. Hall clarified that if either house is destroyed to an extent of more than 50% of its value, with the property as it is being proposed, that house could not be 10 11 rebuilt. If the homeowner wanted to rebuild the house, it would need to happen on a separate lot, and they 12 have plenty of land to do that. Mr. LeFever confirmed that he understood. 13 14 Mr. Hall continued to provide additional background by stating that when the second house was built, the 15 Department of Planning and Zoning had different standards than it has today, so it is no surprise that no 16 permit existed for the second home. Mr. Hall also said that he would not recommend any enforcement 17 action be taken by the Department of Planning and Zoning, and that there will be plenty of land to create 18 an additional lot, so he believes that the Board should be comfortable in taking action on the case before 19 them. 20 21 Mr. LeFever offered to show the Board the original survey of the property done by Mr. Moore out of Paxton, Illinois. Mr. LeFever said it is hard to keep track of what was done over 40 years ago with their 22 23 parents doing what they did compared to what they should have done. 24 25 Ms. Cunningham asked if the Board had any additional questions. There were no additional questions. 26 Ms. Cunningham asked if there was anyone who wished to cross-examine the witnesses, but no one 27 wanted to cross-examine the witnesses. Ms. Cunningham thanked Mr. LeFever and Ms. Armes and said 28 they could return to their seats. 29 30 Mr. Roberts made the motion to close the witness registry, seconded by Mr. Flesner. The motion 31 passed by voice vote. 32 33 Mr. Flesner made the motion to move on to the Draft Summary Findings of Fact, seconded by Mr. 34 Roberts. The motion passed by voice vote. 35 36 **SUMMARY DRAFT FINDINGS OF FACT FOR CASE 138-V-24** 37 38 From the documents of record and the testimony and exhibits received at the public hearing for zoning 39 case 138-V-24 held on May 30, 2024, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County finds that: 40 41 1. Special conditions and circumstances *(DO/DO NOT)* exist which are peculiar to the land or 42 structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because: 43 44 45 Mr. Flesner said special conditions and circumstances DO exist which are peculiar to the land because the petitioners want to create a lot that will provide adequate setbacks for the existing structures as well 46 as encompass the existing well, pasture and orchard, currently on the property. No land is proposed to be 47 removed from production. 48 49

- 2. Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations 1 2 sought to be varied *{WILL/WILL NOT}* prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of 3 the land or structure or construction because: 4 5 Mr. Flesner said that practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the 6 regulations sought to be varied WILL prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or 7 structure or construction because without the proposed variance, the petitioners would need to 8 reconfigure their property in order to provide adequate setbacks for the existing improvements and 9 would be left with an irregular shaped lot. 10 11 3. The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties {DO/DO NOT} 12 result from actions of the applicant because: 13 14 Mr. Flesner said the special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties DO NOT 15 result from actions of the applicant because the existing improvements on the property were not made by 16 the petitioner. The petitioners inherited the property in 2022. 17 18 4. The requested variance *{IS/IS NOT}* in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the 19 **Ordinance because:** 20 21 Mr. Andersen said the requested variance IS in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance because No land is proposed to be taken out of production. 22 23 24 5. The requested variance *{WILL/WILL NOT}* be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare because: 25 26 27 Mr. Andersen said the requested variance WILL NOT be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise 28 detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare because relevant jurisdictions have been notified of 29 this case, and no comments have been received. 30 31 6. The requested variance *{IS/IS NOT*} the minimum variation that will make possible the 32 reasonable use of the land/structure because: 33 34 Mr. Andersen said the requested variance IS the minimum variation that will make possible the 35 reasonable use of the land/structure because it is the minimum lot size that will provide adequate 36 setbacks for existing structures and encompass the existing well, pasture and orchard. 37 38 Mr. Flesner makes the motion to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record and 39 Findings of Facts, seconded by Mr. Roberts. The motion is approved by voice vote. 40 41 Mr. Roberts makes the motion to move on to the Final Determination, seconded by Mr. Flesner. 42 The motion is approved by voice vote. 43 44 **FINAL DETERMINATION FOR CASE 138-V-24** 45 The Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals finds that, based upon the application, testimony, and 46 47 other evidence received in this case, that the requirements for approval in Section 9.1.9.C {HAVE/HAVE **NOT**} been met, and pursuant to the authority granted by Section 9.1.6.B of the Champaign County Zoning 48
- 49 Ordinance, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County determines that:

1	
2	The Variance requested in Case 138-V-24 is hereby {GRANTED/GRANTED WITH
3	CONDITIONS/DENIED } to the petitioners, Frank LeFever & Rita Armes, to authorize the
4	following:
5	
6	Authorize a variance for a proposed 9.56-acre lot in lieu of the maximum allowed 3 acres in
7	area for a lot with soils that are best prime farmland in the AG-1 Agriculture Zoning
8	District, per Section 5.3 of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance.
9	
0	Mr. Andersen made the motion that the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals finds that,
11	based upon the application, testimony, and other evidence received in this case, that the
	requirements for approval in Section 9.1.9.C HAVE been met, and pursuant to the authority
2	
3	granted by Section 9.1.6.B of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance, the Zoning Board of
4	Appeals of Champaign County determines that:
5	
6	The Variance requested in Case 138-V-24 is hereby GRANTED to the petitioners, Frank
7	LeFever & Rita Armes, to authorize the following:
8	
9	Authorize a variance for a proposed 9.56-acre lot in lieu of the maximum allowed 3 acres in
0	area for a lot with soils that are best prime farmland in the AG-1 Agriculture Zoning
21	i 0 0
	District, per Section 5.3 of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance.
2	
3	Ms. Cunningham requested a roll call vote.
4	
5	The vote was called as follows:
6	
7	Andersen – YES Flesner – YES Roberts – YES Cunningham – YES
8	
9	The motion passed.
0	
31	Case 138-V-24 passed. Ms. Cunningham thanked the petitioners for their time and congratulated them on
2	the approval.
3	
4	8. Staff Report
5	
6	Due to the number of solar farm requests received by the Department of Planning and Zoning, Mr. Hall
7	has yet to send a request to the Illinois Fire Institute about case 130-AT-24.
8	
9	Mr. Hall said that with no additional information, he recommended moving the case to July 11 th , 2024.
	Mr. Andersen motioned to move Case 130-AT-24 to July 11 th , 2024, seconded by Mr. Roberts. The motion
)	• •
1	was passed by voice vote.
2	
3	9. Other Business
1	
5	Mr. Andersen motioned to cancel the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting on June 13th, 2024 due to
6	no pending or new cases, seconded by Mr. Flesner. The motion was passed by voice vote.
7	
8	10. Adjournment
9	
J	
	6

1 Mr. Roberts motioned to adjourn, seconded by Mr. Andersen. The motion passed by voice vote.

- The meeting adjourned at 7:04 p.m.
- 5 Respectfully Submitted,
- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
- 8 Secretary of the Zoning Board of Appeals